CERN-TH-2016-022
arXiv:1602.00308v2

arXiv:1602.00308v2 [hep-th] 12 Feb 2016

On the Rankin-Selberg method for higher genus string amplitudes

Ioannis Florakis[1] **and Boris Pioline**[1,2]

[1] *CERN Theory Division, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*

[2] *Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies, CNRS UMR 7589,*
Université Pierre et Marie Curie - Paris 6, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris cedex 05, France

Abstract

Closed string amplitudes at genus $h \leq 3$ are given by integrals of Siegel modular functions on a fundamental domain of the Siegel upper half-plane. When the integrand is of rapid decay near the cusps, the integral can be computed by the Rankin-Selberg method, which consists of inserting an Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}_h(s)$ in the integrand, computing the integral by the orbit method, and finally extracting the residue at a suitable value of s. String amplitudes, however, typically involve integrands with polynomial or even exponential growth at the cusps, and a renormalization scheme is required to treat infrared divergences. Generalizing Zagier's extension of the Rankin-Selberg method at genus one, we develop the Rankin-Selberg method for Siegel modular functions of degree 2 and 3 with polynomial growth near the cusps. In particular, we show that the renormalized modular integral of the Siegel-Narain partition function of an even self-dual lattice of signature (d, d) is proportional to a residue of the Langlands-Eisenstein series attached to the h-th antisymmetric tensor representation of the T-duality group $O(d, d, \mathbb{Z})$.

E-mail: ioannis.florakis@cern.ch
boris.pioline@cern.ch

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Degree one **6**

3 Degree two **10**
3.1 Regularizing the divergences 10
3.2 Renormalizing the integral 13
3.3 Constructing $\Diamond_2$ 16
3.4 Lattice partition function 21
3.5 Product of two Eisenstein series 24

4 Degree three **25**
4.1 Regularizing the divergences 25
4.2 Renormalizing the integral 29
4.3 Constructing $\Diamond_3$ 32
4.4 Lattice partition function 38

A Siegel-Eisenstein series and invariant differential operators **42**

B Lattice partition function and Langlands-Eisenstein series **46**

C Laplace equation at genus 3 **48**

1 Introduction

According to the current rules of perturbative superstring theory, scattering amplitudes at h-loops are expressed as integrals of a suitable superconformal correlation function on the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_h$ of super-Riemann surfaces of genus h [1,2]. Although there is in general no global holomorphic projection onto the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_h$ of ordinary Riemann surfaces [3], for most practical purposes the integral over $\mathfrak{M}_h$ can be reduced to an integral over $\mathcal{M}_h$, possibly supplemented with boundary contributions from nodal curves, which incorporate the infrared singularities due to massless degrees of freedom. Even after this reduction has been performed, there are very few cases where the integral over $\mathcal{M}_h$ can be evaluated explicity, due to the complexity of the integrand and of the integration domain, a quotient of the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_h$ by the mapping class group Γ_h. For $h \leq 3$, $\mathcal{T}_h$ is isomorphic (via the period map $\Sigma \to \Omega$) to the Siegel upper half plane $\mathcal{H}_h$ of degree h (away from the separating degeneration locus), while Γ_h is isomorphic to the Siegel modular group $PSp(2h,\mathbb{Z}) = Sp(2h,\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\}$ (or a congruence subgroup thereof, if one keeps track of spin structures).

At genus one, several efficient methods for integrating modular functions on the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_1$ of the Poincaré upper-half plane have been developped, starting with the integration-by-parts method [4] and the orbit method of [5–8] in the physics literature, and the Rankin-Selberg-Zagier method for automorphic functions of polynomial growth in the math

literature [9]. With the recent advances of [10–13][1], it is now possible to evaluate analytically the integral over $\mathcal{F}_1$ of any (modular invariant) product of an almost weakly holomorphic modular form times a lattice partition function. This covers most of the cases relevant for BPS-saturated amplitudes at one-loop.

At genus two or three, the only examples computed so far are those where the integrand is constant [20, 21], or proportional to the so-called Kawazumi-Zhang invariant $\varphi_{\rm KZ}(\Omega)$ [22, 23], or proportional to the Narain partition function $\Gamma_{d,d,h}$ of the even self-dual lattice of signature (d,d) [24,25]. In the first case, the volume of the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_h$ of the Siegel modular group of degree h is well-known since [26], while in the second case the integral of $\varphi_{\rm KZ}$ could be computed by integration by parts [23]. In the last case, the integral $\int_{\mathcal{F}_h} {\rm d}\mu_h \Gamma_{d,d,h}$ is divergent for $d \geq h+1$ and must be regularized. It was conjectured in [24] that the renormalized integral should be proportional to the sum of the Langlands-Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}^{\star,SO(d,d)}_{S,C}(s=h)$ attached to the spinorial representations of the T-duality group $SO(d,d,\mathbb{Z})$.

In [27], some preliminary steps were taken towards evaluating the integral $\int_{\mathcal{F}_h} {\rm d}\mu_h \Gamma_{d,d,h}$ by the Rankin-Selberg method. Recall that for a modular function F of rapid decay, the integral $\int_{\mathcal{F}_h} {\rm d}\mu_h\, F(\Omega)$ can be deduced from the 'Rankin-Selberg transform'

$$\mathcal{R}^\star_h(F,s) = \int_{\mathcal{F}_h} {\rm d}\mu_h\, \mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)\, F(\Omega)\ , \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)$ is the (completed) non-holomorphic Siegel-Eisenstein series, by using the known fact [28] that $\mathcal{E}^\star(s,\Omega)$ is a meromorphic function of $s\in\mathbb{C}$ with a pole at $s=\frac{h+1}{2}$, and with constant residue $r_h = \frac{1}{2}\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor h/2\rfloor}\zeta^\star(2j+1)$:

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_h} {\rm d}\mu_h\, F(\Omega) = \frac{1}{r_h}{\rm Res}_{s=\frac{h+1}{2}}\, \mathcal{R}^\star_h(F,s)\ . \tag{1.2}$$

The Rankin-Selberg transform (1.1) can in turn be computed by representing the Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)$ for ${\rm Re}(s) > \frac{h+1}{2}$ as a sum over images under Γ_h,

$$\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega) = \zeta^\star(2s)\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor h/2\rfloor}\zeta^\star(4s-2j)\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_{h,\infty}\backslash\Gamma_h}|\Omega_2|^s|\gamma\ , \qquad \Gamma_{h,\infty} = \Gamma_h\cap\{\begin{pmatrix} A & B\\ 0 & D\end{pmatrix}\}\ , \tag{1.3}$$

where $\Omega = \Omega_1 + {\rm i}\Omega_2$, $|\Omega_2| \equiv \det\Omega_2$, and unfolding the integration domain against the sum over images, leading to

$$\mathcal{R}^\star_h(F,s) = \zeta^\star(2s)\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor h/2\rfloor}\zeta^\star(4s-2j)\int_{\Gamma_{\infty,h}\backslash\mathcal{H}_h}{\rm d}\mu_h\,|\Omega_2|^s\,F(\Omega)\ . \tag{1.4}$$

The integral over Ω_1 projects $F(\Omega)$ onto the zero-th Fourier coefficient $F^{(0)}(\Omega_2)$ with respect to $\Gamma_{h,\infty}$, while the remaining integral over Ω_2 runs over a fundamental domain of the action

[1]Other attempts to use the Rankin-Selberg method to compute string amplitudes or probe the asymptotic density of string states include [14–19].

of $PGL(h,\mathbb{Z})$ on the space $\mathcal{P}_h$ of positive definite symmetric matrices. The latter can be viewed as a generalized Mellin transform of $F^{(0)}(\Omega_2)$. Notably it inherits the analyticity property and invariance under $s \mapsto \frac{h+1}{2} - s$ of the Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)$. In the case where $F(\Omega) = |\Omega_2|^w |\Psi(\Omega)|^2$, where $\Psi(\Omega)$ is a holomorphic cusp form of weight w, the integral over $\mathcal{P}_h/PGL(h,\mathbb{Z})$ can be computed using again the unfolding method and identity (B.2) of appendix §B. This expresses $\mathcal{R}^\star_h(F,s)$ as an L-series generalizing the symmetric square L-series for $h=1$ [29–32], establishing its analytic properties and functional equation.

If however $F(\Omega)$ does not decay sufficiently rapidly at the cusps, as is typically the case in string amplitudes, the integrals (1.1) and (1.4) must be regularized. For $h=1$, it was shown in [9] that for modular functions with polynomial growth at the cusp, a suitably renormalized version of the integral (1.1) is given by the Mellin transform of $F^{(0)} - \varphi$ (still denoted by $\mathcal{R}^\star_h(F,s)$), where $\varphi(\Omega_2)$ is the non-decaying part of $F(\Omega)$; the latter has a meromorphic continuation in s with additional poles over and above those of the Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)$. Moreover, the renormalized integral of F differs from (one over r_h times) the residue of $\mathcal{R}^\star_h(F,s)$ at $s=\frac{h+1}{2}$ by a computable term whenever the order of the pole is greater than one. In the context of string theory, the regularization of a physical amplitude $\int_{\mathcal{F}_h} \mathrm{d}\mu_h\, F(\Omega)$ by inserting an Eisenstein series in the integrand and then extracting the residue at $s=\frac{h+1}{2}$, can be viewed as an analogue of dimensional regularization in quantum field theory, where the number of non-compact space-time dimensions is analytically continued from D to $D-(2s-h-1)$.

Assuming that a similar procedure could be carried out for $h>1$, it was found in [27] that for the particular case $F=\Gamma_{d,d,h}$, the renormalized Rankin-Selberg transform (1.4) (after subtracting by hand all non-decaying terms from F) is proportional to the Langlands-Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}^{\star,SO(d,d)}_{\Lambda^h V}(s+\frac{d-1-h}{2})$ attached to the h-th antisymmetric power of the fundamental representation; and that the renormalized integral of F is equal to the residue of the same[2] at $s=\frac{h+1}{2}$, up to an undetermined correction term δ when the order of the pole is greater than one. The arguments in [27] were heuristic, however, and one motivation for the present work is to put the claim of [27] on solid footing.

More generally, the goal of this work is to extend the Rankin-Selberg-Zagier method [9] to Siegel modular functions of degree $h \geq 2$ which are regular inside $\mathcal{H}_h$ and have at most polynomial growth at the cusps. The main challenge is to find a convenient cut-off which removes all divergences, while allowing to unfold the integration domain against the sum in the Siegel-Eisenstein series. In general, divergences originate from regions of $\mathcal{F}_h$ where a diagonal block of size $h_2 \times h_2$ in the lower-right corner of Ω_2 is scaled to infinity, while keeping the remaining entries in Ω finite. The stabilizer of this cusp inside $PSp(2h,\mathbb{Z})$ is a parabolic subgroup of the Siegel modular group with Levi component equal to $[Sp(2h_1,\mathbb{Z}) \times GL(h_2,\mathbb{Z})]/\mathbb{Z}_2$, where $h_1+h_2=h$. In the language of string theory (so for $h=2,3$ only), this divergence is interpreted as a h_2-loop infrared subdivergence for $1 \leq h_2 < h$, or as a primitive infrared divergence for $h_2 = h$. To subtract these divergences, we shall apply the following strategy (already suggested in [10]):

i) construct an increasing family of compact domains $\mathcal{F}_h^\Lambda \subset \mathcal{F}_h$, $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

[2]This is compatible with the fact that the same integral is proportional to the residue of $\mathcal{E}^{\star,SO(d,d)}_{S,C}(s)$ at $s=h$, thanks to identities between Langlands-Eisenstein series.

$\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\mathcal{F}_h^\Lambda = \mathcal{F}_h$; the regularized integral $\mathcal{R}_h^{\star,\Lambda}(F,s) = \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_h\, \mathcal{E}_h^\star(s)\, F$ on the 'truncated fundamental domain' $\mathcal{F}_h^\Lambda$ is then manifestly finite and has the same analytic structure as $\mathcal{E}_h^\star(s)$ as a function of $s\in\mathbb{C}$;

ii) find an invariant differential operator $\Diamond$ which annihilates the non-decaying part of the integrand F;

iii) relate the regularized integrals $\mathcal{R}_h^{\star,\Lambda}(F,s)$ and $\mathcal{R}_h^{\star,\Lambda}(\Diamond F,s)$ using integration by parts;

iv) apply the standard Rankin-Selberg method to the decaying function $\Diamond F$, *i.e.* compute $\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\mathcal{R}_h^{\star,\Lambda}(\Diamond F,s)$ in terms of the (generalized) Mellin transform of the constant term $\Diamond F^{(0)}$;

v) relate the Mellin transform of $\Diamond F^{(0)}$ to the regularized Mellin transform of $F^{(0)}$.

In the body of this paper, we develop this strategy in detail in degree one (revisiting [9, 10]), degree two, and degree three. In appendix §A, we collect relevant facts about Siegel-Eisenstein series and invariant differential operators valid in any degree, which could be used to extend our procedure beyond degree three. In appendix §B, we compute the renormalized Rankin-Selberg transform for the Siegel-Narain lattice partition function in arbitrary degree. Aside from this example, it would be interesting to use our techniques to study the analytic properties of L-series associated to non-cuspidal Siegel modular forms.

Since we only consider integrals of Siegel modular functions which are regular inside $\mathcal{H}_h$, our procedure only applies to string amplitudes of genus $h\leq 3$, whose integrand is regular in all separating degeneration limits, as well as in non-separating degenerations which do not correspond to cusps of the Siegel upper half-plane (see Figure 1). Still, it is applicable to a number of amplitudes of physical interest, such as the two-loop $D^4\mathcal{R}^4$ [20] and three-loop $D^6\mathcal{R}^4$ [21] couplings in type II string theory compactified on T^d, which are proportional to the renormalized modular integral of the lattice partition function $\Gamma_{d,d,h}$ for $h=2$ and $h=3$, respectively. Using the techniques developed in the present paper, we establish that the two-loop $D^4\mathcal{R}^4$ and the three-loop $D^6\mathcal{R}^4$ amplitudes are given by residues of the Langlands-Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}^{\star,SO(d,d)}_{\Lambda^2 V}(s')$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\star,SO(d,d)}_{\Lambda^3 V}(s')$ at $s'=d/2$, respectively. Moreover, in appendix §C, using similar techniques as in [33], we show that the three-loop $D^6\mathcal{R}^4$ amplitude satisfies a Laplace-type differential equation as a function of the moduli of the torus T^d, with anomalous source terms originating from boundaries of the moduli space; the coefficients of these anomalous terms agree perfectly with those predicted from S-duality [34].

An important challenge is to extend our techniques to Siegel modular forms with singularities inside the Siegel upper half-plane. The special case of the modular integral of the genus-two Kawazumi-Zhang invariant $\varphi_{\mathrm{KZ}}(\Omega)$, relevant for two-loop $D^6\mathcal{R}^4$ amplitudes in type II string theory on tori, was considered in [33], leading to a novel construction of $\varphi_{\mathrm{KZ}}(\Omega)$ [35]. It would be interesting to consider other examples with more severe singularities on the separating degeneration locus, such as D^2H^4 amplitudes in type II string theory compactified on K_3 [36].



Figure 1: Non-separating degenerations of Riemann surfaces of genus two and three (see e.g. Figures 1 and 4 in [37] for the full set including separating degenerations). The boxed ones correspond to those where the period matrix reaches a boundary of the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_h$ in the Siegel upper half-plane.

Acknowledgements. B.P. is grateful to Rodolfo Russo for collaboration on the related work [33] which paved the way for this project. I.F. would like to thank the ICTP Trieste for its kind hospitality during the final stages of this work.

2 Degree one

As a warm-up for the higher degree cases discussed in this work, let us recover the main results of [9] using the method outlined above. Let $F(\tau)$ be an automorphic function of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ with polynomial growth[3] at the cusp $\tau = \mathrm{i}\infty$,

$$F(\tau) = \varphi(\tau_2) + \mathcal{O}(\tau_2^{-N}) \quad \forall N > 0 \ , \qquad \varphi(\tau_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i \, \tau_2^{\sigma_i} \ , \tag{2.1}$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{C}, \sigma_i \in \mathbb{C}$. We define the regularized Rankin-Selberg transform of F by

$$\mathcal{R}_1^{\star,\Lambda}(F,s) \equiv \int_{\mathcal{F}_1^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_1 \, \mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,\tau) \, F(\tau) \, , \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,\tau) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\zeta^\star(2s) \sum_{\substack{(c,d)\in\mathbb{Z}^2,\\ (c,d)=1}} \frac{\tau_2^s}{|c\tau+d|^{2s}} \, , \tag{2.3}$$

is the completed non-holomorphic Eisenstein series of weight 0, $\mathcal{F}_1^\Lambda = \{\tau \in \mathcal{H}_1, |\tau| > 1, -\frac{1}{2} \leq \tau_1 < \frac{1}{2}, \tau_2 < \Lambda\}$ is the 'truncated fundamental domain', and $\mathrm{d}\mu_1 = \mathrm{d}\tau_1 \mathrm{d}\tau_2/\tau_2^2$ (normalized such that the volume of the fundamental domain is $\mathcal{V}_1 = \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_1 = 2\zeta^\star(2) = \frac{\pi}{3}$).

The non-decaying part φ is annihilated by the operator

$$\Diamond = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (\Delta - \sigma_i(\sigma_i - 1)) \ . \tag{2.4}$$

Using the Chowla-Selberg formula

$$\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,\tau) = \zeta^\star(2s) \, \tau_2^s + \zeta^\star(2s-1) \, \tau_2^{1-s} + \dots \ , \tag{2.5}$$

where the dots denote exponentially suppressed terms as $\tau_2 \to \infty$, and integrating by parts ℓ

[3] A more general growth condition $\varphi(\tau_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i \, \tau_2^{\sigma_i} (\log \tau_2)^{n_i}/n_i!$ was considered in [9]. For simplicity we shall assume $n_i = 0$. The case $n_i > 0$ can be dealt with by raising the i-th factor in (2.4) to the power n_i. We could also allow the coefficients c_i to be arbitrary periodic functions of τ_1. The subsequent analysis is unchanged provided c_i is understood to represent $\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} c_i(\tau_1)\mathrm{d}\tau_1$.

times, one finds that the regularized Rankin-Selberg transform of $\Diamond F$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_1^{\star,\Lambda}(\Diamond F,s) =& \prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\left[s(s-1)-\sigma_i(\sigma_i-1)\right]\,\mathcal{R}_1^{\star,\Lambda}(F,s)\\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} c_j\left[\zeta^\star(2s)\prod_{i=1\dots\ell}(s-\sigma_i)\prod_{\substack{i=1\dots\ell\\ i\neq j}}(s-1+\sigma_i)\,\Lambda^{\sigma_j+s-1}\right.\\ &\left.-\zeta^\star(2s-1)\prod_{\substack{i=1\dots\ell\\ i\neq j}}(s-\sigma_i)\prod_{i=1\dots\ell}(s-1+\sigma_i)\,\Lambda^{\sigma_j-s}\right]+\dots\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where the dots denote exponentially suppressed terms as $\Lambda\to\infty$. Thus, reorganizing terms and assuming that s does not coincide with any of the σ_i or $1-\sigma_i$,

$$\mathcal{R}_1^{\star,\Lambda}(F,s) = \frac{\mathcal{R}_1^{\star,\Lambda}(\Diamond F,s)}{D_1(s)} \quad +\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} c_j\left(\frac{\zeta^\star(2s)\,\Lambda^{\sigma_j+s-1}}{\sigma_j+s-1}+\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-1)\,\Lambda^{\sigma_j-s}}{\sigma_j-s}\right)+\dots \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$D_1(s)=\prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\left[s(s-1)-\sigma_i(\sigma_i-1)\right]\ . \tag{2.8}$$

On the other hand, since $\Diamond F$ is decaying faster than any power of τ_2, the standard Rankin-Selberg method shows that $\mathcal{R}_1^{\star,\Lambda,s}(\Diamond F)$ has a finite limit at $\Lambda\to\infty$, given by

$$\mathcal{R}_1^{\star}(\Diamond F,s)=\zeta^\star(2s)\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\tau_2\,\tau_2^{s-2}\int_{-1/2}^{1/2}\mathrm{d}\tau_1\,\Diamond F\ , \tag{2.9}$$

and that $\mathcal{R}_1^{\star,\Lambda}(\Diamond F)$ has a meromorphic continuation in the s plane, invariant under $s\mapsto 1-s$, with only simple poles at $s=0$ and $s=1$, due to the poles of $\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s)$. Using the fact that $\Diamond\varphi=0$ and integrating by parts, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_1^{\star}(\Diamond F,s)=\zeta^\star(2s)\,D_1(s)\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\tau_2\,\tau_2^{s-2}\,(F^{(0)}-\varphi)\,, \tag{2.10}$$

where $F^{(0)}(\tau)=\int_{-1/2}^{1/2}\mathrm{d}\tau_1 F$. Thus, if we define the renormalized integral of $\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s)$ times F by subtracting the divergent terms in (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_1^{\star}(F,s) \equiv& \text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s)\,F\\ \equiv& \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[\mathcal{R}_1^{\star,\Lambda}(F)-\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}c_j\left(\frac{\zeta^\star(2s)\,\Lambda^{\sigma_j+s-1}}{\sigma_j+s-1}+\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-1)\,\Lambda^{\sigma_j-s}}{\sigma_j-s}\right)\right]\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

then it follows from (2.7) and (2.9) that

$$\boxed{\mathcal{R}_1^\star(F,s) = \zeta^\star(2s)\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\tau_2\,\tau_2^{s-2}\,(F^{(0)}-\varphi) = \frac{\mathcal{R}_1^\star(\Diamond F,s)}{D_1(s)}\ .} \tag{2.12}$$

Thus the renormalized integral $\mathcal{R}_1^\star(F,s)$ is equal to the Mellin transform of the subtracted constant term $F^{(0)}-\varphi$, and has a meromorphic continuation to the s plane, invariant under $s\mapsto 1-s$, with only simple poles at $s\in\{0,1,\sigma_i,1-\sigma_i,i=1\dots\ell\}$ (assuming for now that no σ_i is equal to 1). For $\sigma\notin\{0,1\}$, the residue at $s=\sigma$ originates from the subtraction in (2.11),

$$\mathrm{Res}_{s=\sigma}\,\mathcal{R}_1^\star(F,s) = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\ \sigma=\sigma_i}}^{\ell}\zeta^\star(2\sigma_i-1)\,c_i \ -\ \sum_{\substack{i=1\\ \sigma=1-\sigma_i}}^{\ell}\zeta^\star(2\sigma_i-1)\,c_i\ . \tag{2.13}$$

Since the residue of $\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s)$ at $s=1$ is a constant $r_1=\mathrm{Res}_{s=1}\zeta^\star(2s-1)=\frac12$, it is natural to define the renormalized integral of F as twice the residue of $\mathcal{R}_1^\star(F,s)$ at that point,

$$\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,F = \frac{1}{r_1}\mathrm{Res}_{s=1}\mathcal{R}_1^\star(F,s) = \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[\int_{\mathcal{F}_1^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,F - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\frac{c_j\,\Lambda^{\sigma_j-1}}{\sigma_j-1}\right]\ . \tag{2.14}$$

If, however, one of the σ_i's coincides with 1, then $\mathcal{R}_1^\star(F,s)$ has a double pole at $s=0$ and $s=1$, with coefficient $\frac12\sum_{\sigma_j=1}c_j$. We may then define the renormalized integral as

$$\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,F = \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[\int_{\mathcal{F}_1^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,F - \sum_{\substack{j=1\dots\ell\\ \sigma_j\neq1}}\frac{c_j\,\Lambda^{\sigma_j-1}}{\sigma_j-1} - \sum_{\substack{j=1\dots\ell\\ \sigma_j=1}}c_j\log\Lambda\right]\ , \tag{2.15}$$

in which case it differs from twice the residue of $\mathcal{R}_1^\star(F,s)$ at $s=1$ by an additive constant,

$$\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,F = 2\,\mathrm{Res}_{s=1}\mathcal{R}_1^\star(F,s) + \sum_{\substack{j\\ \sigma_j=1}}c_j\,\log(4\pi e^{-\gamma}) + \frac{\pi}{3}\sum_{\substack{j\\ \sigma_j=0}}c_j\ . \tag{2.16}$$

As a prime example of a one-loop modular integral relevant for string theory, let us consider the case $F=\Gamma_{d,d,1}$, where $\Gamma_{d,d,h}$ is the Siegel-Narain partition function for the even self-dual lattice of signature (d,d), which we define here for arbitrary genus h ($\Omega=\tau$ for $h=1$):

$$\Gamma_{d,d,h}(g,B;\Omega) = |\Omega_2|^{d/2}\sum_{(m_i^I,n^{i,I})\in\mathbb{Z}^{2dh}} e^{-\pi\mathcal{L}^{IJ}\Omega_{2,IJ}+2\pi\mathrm{i}m_i^In^{i,J}\Omega_{1,IJ}}\ , \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}^{IJ} = (m_i^I+B_{ik}n^{I,k})g^{ij}(m_j^J+B_{jl}n^{J,l})+n^{i,I}g_{ij}n^{j,J}\ . \tag{2.18}$$

At genus one, $\mathcal{L}^{11} \equiv \mathcal{M}^2$ is the squared mass of a closed string with momentum m_i^1 and winding number $n^{i,1}$ along a d-dimensional torus with metric g_{ij} and Kalb-Ramond field B_{ij}. The positive definite matrix g_{ij} and antisymmetric matrix B_{ij} can be viewed as coordinates on the Grassmannian $G_{d,d} = O(d,d)/[O(d) \times O(d)]$, which parametrizes even self-dual lattices of signature (d,d). In this case, $\varphi(\tau_2) = \tau_2^{d/2}$, and the renormalized integral (2.12) is given by

$$\mathcal{R}_1^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,1}, s) = \frac{\zeta^\star(2s)\,\Gamma(s+\frac{d}{2}-1)}{\pi^{s+\frac{d}{2}-1}} \sum_{\substack{(m_i,n^i)\in\mathbb{Z}^{2d} \\ m_i n^i = 0, (m_i,n^i)\neq 0}} \mathcal{M}^{-2s-d+2}\,. \tag{2.19}$$

This is recognized as the Langlands-Eisenstein series of $SO(d,d,\mathbb{Z})$ attached to the vector representation,

$$\mathcal{R}_1^\star(F,s) = 2\,\mathcal{E}_V^{\star,SO(d,d)}(s+\tfrac{d}{2}-1)\ . \tag{2.20}$$

In particular, it follows from the above that $\mathcal{E}_V^{\star,SO(d,d)}(s)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the s plane, invariant under $s \mapsto d-1-s$, with simple poles (for $d \neq 2$) at $s = 0, \frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}, d-1$ and residues

$$\mathrm{Res}_{s=d-1}\mathcal{E}_V^{\star,SO(d,d)}(s) = \frac{1}{2}\zeta^\star(d-1)\,, \tag{2.21}$$

$$\mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{d}{2}}\mathcal{E}_V^{\star,SO(d,d)}(s) = \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\Gamma_{d,d,1}\,, \tag{2.22}$$

where we define the renormalized integral $\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\Gamma_{d,d,1}$, for all values of d, as

$$\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\Gamma_{d,d,1} = \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[\int_{\mathcal{F}_1^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\Gamma_{d,d,1} - \left(\frac{\Lambda^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}{\frac{d}{2}-1}\Theta(d-2) + \delta_{d,2}\,\log\Lambda\right)\right]\ . \tag{2.23}$$

Here, $\Theta(x) = 1$ if $x > 0$ and 0 otherwise. This analytic structure is consistent with the one derived from the Langlands constant term formula (see e.g. Appendix A in [34]).

For $d = 2$, $\mathcal{E}_V^{\star,SO(2,2)}(s)$ has a double pole at $s = 1$,

$$\mathcal{E}_V^{\star,SO(2,2)}(s) = \frac{1}{4(s-1)^2} + \frac{1}{4(s-1)}\left[2\gamma - \log\left(16\pi^2 T_2 U_2 |\eta(T)\eta(U)|^4\right)\right]\ , \tag{2.24}$$

where we used $\mathcal{E}_V^{\star,SO(2,2)}(s) = \mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,T)\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,U)$, [10] and the Kronecker limit formula

$$\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,\tau) = \frac{1}{2(s-1)} - \frac{1}{2}\log\left[4\pi\tau_2|\eta(\tau)|^4 e^{-\gamma}\right] + \mathcal{O}(s-1)\ . \tag{2.25}$$

The residue at the pole differs by an additive constant from (1/4 times) the renormalized

integral defined by (2.23),

$$\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1\, \Gamma_{2,2,1} = -\log\left(4\pi e^{-\gamma} T_2 U_2 |\eta(T)\eta(U)|^4\right) \ . \tag{2.26}$$

The latter also differs by an additive constant from the integral computed in [7] using a different renormalization prescription.

For $d = 1$, using $\mathcal{M}^2 = \frac{m^2}{R^2} + n^2 R^2$, where R is the radius of the circle T^1, one finds

$$\mathcal{E}_V^{\star,SO(1,1)}(s) = \zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(2s+1)\,(R^{2s} + R^{-2s}) \ . \tag{2.27}$$

For $d = 0$, the Rankin-Selberg transform (2.19) vanishes, but the renormalized integral $\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1$ is still non-zero, and equal to $\mathcal{V}_1 = 2\zeta^\star(2)$.

3 Degree two

In this section we develop the Rankin-Selberg method for Siegel modular functions of degree two with at most polynomial growth at the cusp, following the strategy outlined in the introduction. Our aim is to define the renormalized integral

$$\mathcal{R}_2^\star(F, s) = \text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2} \mathrm{d}\mu_2\, \mathcal{E}_2^\star(s, \Omega)\, F(\Omega) \ , \tag{3.1}$$

and relate it to the generalized Mellin transform of the zero-th Fourier coefficient $F^{(0)}(\Omega_2)$, defined with a suitable subtraction.

3.1 Regularizing the divergences

Our first task is to understand the possible sources of divergence in the integral (3.1). For this we choose the standard fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_2$ from [38],

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1) \qquad -\frac{1}{2} < \mathrm{Re}(\Omega_{11}), \mathrm{Re}(\Omega_{12}), \mathrm{Re}(\Omega_{22}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \\
&(2) \qquad 0 < 2\mathrm{Im}(\Omega_{12}) \leq \mathrm{Im}(\Omega_{11}) \leq \mathrm{Im}(\Omega_{22}) \\
&(3) \qquad |C\Omega + D| > 1 \text{ for all } \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(4, \mathbb{Z})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Note that (3) states that $|\Omega_2|$ attains the maximal possible value in the orbit of $Sp(4, \mathbb{Z})$, while (2) amounts to the requirement that Ω_2 lies in the Minkowski fundamental domain for the action of $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on positive quadratic forms. This domain is conveniently parametrized by three ordered positive real numbers $0 < L_2 < L_1 < L_3$,

$$\Omega_2 = \begin{pmatrix} L_1 + L_2 & -L_2 \\ -L_2 & L_2 + L_3 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{3.3}$$



Figure 2: Two-loop sunset diagram, and its one-loop and two-loop counterterms, corresponding to regions II, I, 0 defined in (3.11).

The variables L_1, L_2, L_3 can be interpreted as Schwinger time parameters for the three edges of the two-loop 'sunset' Feynman diagram which describes the maximal non-separating degeneration of a genus two Riemann surface (see Figure 2). The integration measure is normalized as in [27],

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_2(\Omega) = \frac{\prod_{I\leq J} \mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{Re}(\Omega_{IJ})\,\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{Im}(\Omega_{IJ})}{|\Omega_2|^3} = \frac{\mathrm{d}L_1\mathrm{d}L_2\mathrm{d}L_3}{(L_1L_2+L_2L_3+L_3L_1)^3}\prod_{I\leq J}\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{Re}(\Omega_{IJ})\ , \quad (3.4)$$

so that the volume of the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_2$ is $\mathcal{V}_2 = 2\zeta^\star(2)\zeta^\star(4) = \frac{\pi^3}{270}$.

The first source of divergences is the region I where $\mathrm{Im}(\Omega_{22})$ is scaled to infinity, keeping the other entries in Ω fixed. In this region, it is convenient to parametrize

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \rho & \rho u_2 - u_1 \\ \rho u_2 - u_1 & t_1 + \mathrm{i}(t + \rho_2 u_2^2) \end{pmatrix}\ , \quad (3.5)$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \rho \in \mathcal{H}_1, (u_1, u_2, t_1) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, so that the integration measure becomes

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_2(\Omega) = \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^3}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho)\,\mathrm{d}u_1\,\mathrm{d}u_2\,\mathrm{d}t_1\ , \quad (3.6)$$

where $\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho) = \mathrm{d}\rho_1\mathrm{d}\rho_2/\rho_2^2$. The region of interest is $t \to \infty$, keeping ρ, u_1, u_2, t_1 finite. Since the stabilizer of the cusp $t = \infty$ is $Sp(2,\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \ltimes \mathbb{Z}$, the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_2$ simplifies in this limit to $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{F}_1 \times [-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]^2/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times [-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]$, where the center $\mathbb{Z}_2$ of $Sp(2,\mathbb{Z}) = SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ acts by flipping the sign of (u_1, u_2). In string theory, this region is responsible for one-loop infrared subdivergences, described by a one-loop diagram in supergravity, with an insertion of a one-loop counterterm. The parameter t is interpreted as the Schwinger time parameter for the propagation of massless supergravity states around the loop, while ρ is the complexified

Schwinger parameter for the counterterm.

The second source of divergences is the region II where the whole matrix Ω_2 scales to infinity. In the language of string theory, this region is responsible for primitive two-loop infrared divergences. In this region, it is convenient to choose a different parametrization for the imaginary part of Ω,

$$\Omega_2 = \frac{1}{V\tau_2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \tau_1 \\ \tau_1 & |\tau|^2 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{3.7}$$

where $V \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_1$, so that the integration measure in coordinates V, τ, Ω_1 becomes

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_2(\Omega) = 2V^2 \mathrm{d}V \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau_1 \mathrm{d}\tau_2}{\tau_2^2} \prod_{I<J} \mathrm{dRe}(\Omega_{IJ}) . \tag{3.8}$$

The region of interest is then $V \to 0$ keeping τ and Ω_1 fixed. Since the stabilizer of the cusp $V = 0$ is $\Gamma_{2,\infty} = PGL(2,\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^3$, the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_2$ simplifies in this limit to $\mathbb{R}^+ \times (\mathcal{F}_1/\mathbb{Z}_2) \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^3$, where the involution $\mathbb{Z}_2$ (corresponding to the element $\mathrm{diag}(1,-1)$ in $PGL(2,\mathbb{Z})$) acts by $\tau \mapsto -\bar\tau$. In string theory, this region is responsible for primitive two-loop divergences. Indeed, as indicated in (3.3), the fundamental domain $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{F}_1/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is isomorphic to the space $(\mathbb{R}^+)^3/\sigma_3$ parametrized by the ordered Schwinger parameters $0 < L_2 < L_1 < L_3$, so the divergence can be cast into the form of a two-loop amplitude in supergravity. The fact that two-loop supergravity amplitudes have a hidden modular invariance was first noticed in [39], and it becomes manifest when these amplitudes are obtained as field theory limits of string amplitudes.

It is worth noting that the locus $\tau_1 = 0$ inside $\mathcal{F}_1/\mathbb{Z}_2$, fixed under the involution $\tau \mapsto -\bar\tau$, corresponds to the intersection of the non-separating and separating degeneration loci $t = \infty$ and $\rho u_2 - u_1 = \infty$. Since we assume that the integrand is regular in the separating degeneration limit, there is no divergence originating from this region.

In order to regularize the integral (3.1), we shall define, for Λ sufficiently large,

$$\mathcal{R}_2^\star(F,s) = \int_{\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_2 \, \mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega) \, F(\Omega) , \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda = \mathcal{F}_2 \cap \{t < \Lambda\} , \tag{3.10}$$

is the 'truncated fundamental domain'. The conditions (3.2) require that $0 \le u_2 \le \frac{1}{2}$ and $\rho_2 < t/(1-u_2^2) \le \frac{4}{3}t$ in $\mathcal{F}_2$, so the cut-off $t < \Lambda$ ensures that the domain $\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda$ is compact. Thus, for any Siegel modular function $F(\Omega)$, smooth in $\mathcal{H}_2$, $\mathcal{R}_2^\star(F,s)$ inherits the analytic structure of $\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)$ as a function of s. Our goal is to determine its dependence on the cut-off Λ as $\Lambda \to \infty$, up to exponentially suppressed terms in Λ, and define the renormalized integral (3.1) by subtracting these contributions and taking the limit $\Lambda \to \infty$.

A significant complication in extracting the large Λ behavior of (3.9) is that the regions I

and II overlap, corresponding to overlapping divergences in supergravity. In order to disentangle their contributions, it is useful to introduce an auxiliary cut-off Λ_1 such that $1 \ll \Lambda_1 \ll \Lambda$, and split $\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda$ into three domains [33]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_2^0 =& \mathcal{F}_2 \cap \{\rho_2 < t + u_2^2\rho_2 < \Lambda_1 \ , \quad t < \Lambda\} \, , \\
\mathcal{F}_2^I =& \mathcal{F}_2 \cap \{\rho_2 < \Lambda_1 < t + u_2^2\rho_2 \ , \quad t < \Lambda\} \, , \\
\mathcal{F}_2^{II} =& \mathcal{F}_2 \cap \{\Lambda_1 < \rho_2 < t + u_2^2\rho_2 \ , \quad t < \Lambda\} \, .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

The integral over $\mathcal{F}_2^0$ gives a finite result, independent of Λ. The integrals over $\mathcal{F}_2^I$ and $\mathcal{F}_2^{II}$ will have power-like dependence on Λ and Λ_1, but mixed terms depending on both Λ and Λ_1 will cancel in the sum, since the union of the three regions is independent of Λ_1.

3.2 Renormalizing the integral

Our second task is to understand the behavior of the Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)$ in regions I and II. Recall that $\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)$ is defined for $s > \frac{3}{2}$ by the sum over images in (1.3), and has a meromorphic continuation to the s-plane, invariant under $s \mapsto \frac{3}{2} - s$, with simple poles at $s = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}$. The residue at $s = \frac{3}{2}$ (or minus the residue at $s = 0$) is a constant $r_2 = \frac{1}{2}\zeta^\star(3)$, while the residue at $s = 1$ is a non-trivial real-analytic Siegel modular form. The behavior of $\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)$ in the regions I and II is given by the Langlands constant term formula,

$$\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega) = t^s \, \zeta^\star(4s-2) \, \mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,\rho) + t^{\frac{3}{2}-s} \, \zeta^\star(4s-3) \, \mathcal{E}_1^\star(s-\tfrac{1}{2},\rho) + \mathcal{O}(t^{-N}) \, , \qquad \forall N > 0 \, , \tag{3.12}$$

in the limit $t \to \infty$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega) =& \zeta^\star(2s) \, \zeta^\star(4s-2) \, V^{-2s} + \zeta^\star(2s-2)\zeta^\star(4s-3) \, V^{2s-3} \\
& + \zeta^\star(2s-1) \, V^{-1} \, \mathcal{E}_1^\star(2s-1,\tau) + \mathcal{O}(V^N) \, , \qquad \forall N > 0 \, ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

in the limit $V \to 0$. Using (2.5) it is easily checked that the two expansions agree in their common domain of validity.

In order to control the divergences of the integrand, we shall require that the function F behaves in the limit $t \to \infty$ as

$$F(\Omega) = \varphi + \mathcal{O}(t^{-N}) \qquad \forall N > 0 \ , \quad \varphi = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} t^{\sigma_i} \, \varphi_i(\rho) \, , \tag{3.14}$$

where $\{\sigma_i\}$ is a set of distinct complex exponents and $\varphi_i(\rho)$ are real-analytic modular forms of weight zero. More generally, we could allow φ_i to be a real analytic Jacobi form in ρ, u_1, u_2, t_1, of zero weight and index. In the analysis below, $\varphi_i(\rho)$ should then be understood as the average $\int_{[-1/2,1/2]^3} \varphi_i(\rho, u_1, u_2, t_1) \, \mathrm{d}u_1 \mathrm{d}u_2 \mathrm{d}t_1$.

Similarly, in the limit $V \to 0$, we require that $F(\Omega)$ grows as

$$F(\Omega) = \tilde\varphi + \mathcal{O}(V^N) \qquad \forall N > 0 \ , \quad \tilde\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde\ell} V^{\alpha_j} \tilde\varphi_j(\tau) \, , \tag{3.15}$$

where α_j is a set of distinct complex exponents, and $\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)$ are Maass forms of weight 0 under $GL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. We assume that $\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)$ is smooth at $\tau_1 = 0$, corresponding to the intersection of the non-separating and separating degeneration loci. More generally, we could allow $\tilde\varphi_j$ to be functions of τ, Ω_1, invariant under $\Gamma_{2,\infty}$. In this case, in the analysis below, one should again interpret $\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)$ as the average $\int_{[-1/2,1/2]^3} \tilde\varphi_j(\tau,\Omega_1)\mathrm{d}\Omega_1$.

Using $V = 1/\sqrt{t\rho_2}, \tau_2 = \sqrt{t/\rho_2}$, the compatibility of (3.14) and (3.15) requires that

$$\varphi_i(\rho) \overset{\rho_2\to\infty}{\sim} \sum_j c_{i,j}\,\rho_2^{\eta_{i,j}}\ , \quad \tilde\varphi_j(\tau) \overset{\tau_2\to\infty}{\sim} \sum_i \tilde c_{j,i}\,\tau_2^{\beta_{j,i}}\ , \tag{3.16}$$

with $c_{i,j} = \tilde c_{j',i'}$ whenever $\sigma_i = \frac12(\beta_{j',i'} - \alpha_{j'}), \eta_{i,j} = -\frac12(\beta_{j',i'} + \alpha_{j'})$.

Under these assumptions, in region I we can approximate F by (3.14) and $\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)$ by (3.12), so that, after integrating over t from its (irrelevant) lower bound $t(\rho,u_1,u_2,t_1)$ to $t=\Lambda$, and then over $(u_1,u_2,t_1)\in[-\frac12,\frac12]^2/\mathbb{Z}_2\times[-\frac12,\frac12]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^I}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)\,F(\Omega) =& \frac12\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\frac{\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,\Lambda^{s+\sigma_i-2}}{s+\sigma_i-2}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1^{\Lambda_1}}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\varphi_i(\rho)\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,\rho)\\ &+\frac12\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\frac{\zeta^\star(4s-3)\,\Lambda^{\sigma_i-\frac12-s}}{\sigma_i-\frac12-s}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1^{\Lambda_1}}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\varphi_i(\rho)\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s-\tfrac12,\rho)\\ &+\dots\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where the dots denote exponentially suppressed terms in Λ. On the other hand, using (2.14), one can express the integral over $\mathcal{F}_1^{\Lambda_1}$ in terms of the renormalized integral over $\mathcal{F}_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^I}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)\,F(\Omega) \sim\ & \frac12\sum_i\frac{\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,\Lambda^{s+\sigma_i-2}}{s+\sigma_i-2}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho)\,\varphi_i(\rho)\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,\rho)\\ &+\frac12\sum_i\frac{\zeta^\star(4s-3)\,\Lambda^{\sigma_i-\frac12-s}}{\sigma_i-\frac12-s}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho)\,\varphi_i(\rho)\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s-\tfrac12,\rho)\\ &+\frac12\sum_{i,j}c_{i,j}\left(\frac{\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,\Lambda^{s+\sigma_i-2}\,\Lambda_1^{\eta_{i,j}+s-1}}{(s+\sigma_i-2)(\eta_{i,j}+s-1)}+\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-1)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,\Lambda^{s+\sigma_i-2}\,\Lambda_1^{\eta_{i,j}-s}}{(s+\sigma_i-2)(\eta_{i,j}-s)}\right)\\ &+\frac12\sum_{i,j}c_{i,j}\left(\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-1)\,\zeta^\star(4s-3)\,\Lambda^{\sigma_i-\frac12-s}\,\Lambda_1^{\eta_{i,j}+s-\frac32}}{(\sigma_i-\frac12-s)(\eta_{i,j}+s-\frac32)}+\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-2)\,\zeta^\star(4s-3)\,\Lambda^{\sigma_i-\frac12-s}\,\Lambda_1^{\eta_{i,j}-s+\frac12}}{(\sigma_i-\frac12-s)(\eta_{i,j}-s+\frac12)}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

In region II, we can instead approximate F by (3.15) and $\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)$ by (3.13). In terms of the variables V,τ_2, the integration domain $\mathcal{F}_2^{II}$ corresponds to

$$\tau\in\mathcal{F}_1^{\sqrt{\Lambda/\Lambda_1}}/\mathbb{Z}_2\ , \quad \frac{\tau_2}{\Lambda}<V<\frac{1}{\tau_2\Lambda_1}\,. \tag{3.19}$$

After integrating over V, one finds

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^{II}} \mathrm{d}\mu_2\, \mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)\, F(\Omega) \sim \sum_j \int_{\mathcal{F}_1^{\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{\Lambda_1}}}} \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau) \\ \Big\{ \frac{\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)}{\alpha_j-2s+3} \left[(\tau_2\Lambda_1)^{2s-\alpha_j-3} - \Big(\frac{\tau_2}{\Lambda}\Big)^{\alpha_j+3-2s} \right] \tilde\varphi_j(\tau) \\ + \frac{\zeta^\star(2s-2)\,\zeta^\star(4s-3)}{2s+\alpha_j} \left[(\tau_2\Lambda_1)^{-2s-\alpha_j} - \Big(\frac{\tau_2}{\Lambda}\Big)^{\alpha_j+2s} \right] \tilde\varphi_j(\tau) \\ + \frac{\zeta^\star(2s-1)}{\alpha_j+2} \left[(\tau_2\Lambda_1)^{-2-\alpha_j} - \Big(\frac{\tau_2}{\Lambda}\Big)^{\alpha_j+2} \right] \mathcal{E}_1^\star(2s-1,\tau)\, \tilde\varphi_j(\tau) \Big\}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Again, we can replace in this expression[4]

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_1^{\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{\Lambda_1}}}} \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\, \tau_2^\gamma\, \tilde\varphi_j(\tau) \mapsto \mathrm{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1\, \tau_2^\gamma\, \tilde\varphi_j(\tau) + \sum_i \frac{\tilde c_{j,i} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\Lambda_1}\right)^{\frac12(\beta_{j,i}+\gamma-1)}}{\beta_{j,i}+\gamma-1}\,, \tag{3.21}$$

and similarly for the integral $\int_{\mathcal{F}_1^{\sqrt{\Lambda/\Lambda_1}}} \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\, \tau_2^\gamma\, \mathcal{E}_1^\star(2s-1,\tau)\, \varphi_j(\tau)$. By construction, the mixed terms depending on both Λ and Λ_1 cancel between (3.18) and (3.20), thanks to the relation between $c_{i,j}$ and $\tilde c_{j,i}$ mentioned below (3.16). Thus, the relevant contributions from region II are obtained by keeping only the power of τ_2/Λ in the square brackets, and replacing the integral over $\mathcal{F}_1^{\sqrt{\Lambda/\Lambda_1}}$ by the renormalized integral.

It follows that, up to exponentially suppressed terms in Λ, the regularized integral (3.9) depends on Λ as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_2\, \mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)\, F(\Omega) \sim \frac12 \sum_i \frac{\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,\Lambda^{s+\sigma_i-2}}{s+\sigma_i-2} \mathrm{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho)\, \varphi_i(\rho)\, \mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,\rho) \\ + \frac12 \sum_i \frac{\zeta^\star(4s-3)\,\Lambda^{\sigma_i-\frac12-s}}{\sigma_i-\frac12-s} \mathrm{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho)\, \varphi_i(\rho)\, \mathcal{E}_1^\star(s-\tfrac12,\rho) \\ - \sum_j \frac{\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,\Lambda^{2s-\alpha_j-3}}{\alpha_j-2s+3} \mathrm{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\, \tau_2^{\alpha_j+3-2s}\, \tilde\varphi_j(\tau) \\ - \sum_j \frac{\zeta^\star(2s-2)\,\zeta^\star(4s-3)\,\Lambda^{-2s-\alpha_j}}{2s+\alpha_j} \mathrm{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\, \tau_2^{\alpha_j+2s}\, \tilde\varphi_j(\tau) \\ - \sum_j \frac{\zeta^\star(2s-1)\,\Lambda^{-2-\alpha_j}}{\alpha_j+2} \mathrm{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\, \tau_2^{\alpha_j+2} \mathcal{E}_1^\star(2s-1,\tau)\, \tilde\varphi_j(\tau)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

We therefore define the renormalized integral (3.1) by subtracting these cut-off dependent

[4]We denote by τ_2^γ the modular invariant (but not smooth) function equal to τ_2^γ inside the standard fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}$.

terms before taking the limit $\Lambda \to \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_2^\star(F,s) \equiv & \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_2} \mathrm{d}\mu_2\, \mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)\, F(\Omega) \equiv \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty} \Bigg\{ \int_{\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_2\, \mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)\, F(\Omega) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \frac{\zeta^\star(4s-2)\, \Lambda^{s+\sigma_i-2}}{s+\sigma_i-2} \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho)\, \varphi_i(\rho)\, \mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,\rho) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \frac{\zeta^\star(4s-3)\, \Lambda^{\sigma_i-\frac{1}{2}-s}}{\sigma_i-\frac{1}{2}-s} \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho)\, \varphi_i(\rho)\, \mathcal{E}_1^\star(s-\tfrac{1}{2},\rho) \\
& + \sum_j \frac{\zeta^\star(2s)\, \zeta^\star(4s-2)\, \Lambda^{2s-\alpha_j-3}}{\alpha_j-2s+3} \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\, \tau_2^{\alpha_j+3-2s}\, \tilde{\varphi}_j(\tau) \\
& + \sum_j \frac{\zeta^\star(2s-2)\, \zeta^\star(4s-3)\, \Lambda^{-2s-\alpha_j}}{2s+\alpha_j} \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\, \tau_2^{\alpha_j+2s}\, \tilde{\varphi}_j(\tau) \\
& + \sum_j \frac{\zeta^\star(2s-1)\, \Lambda^{-2-\alpha_j}}{\alpha_j+2} \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\, \tau_2^{\alpha_j+2} \mathcal{E}_1^\star(2s-1,\tau)\, \tilde{\varphi}_j(\tau) \Bigg\} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

We note that the last 5 lines have poles, respectively, at

$$\begin{aligned}
s \in & \{2-\sigma_i, \eta_{ij}, 1-\eta_{ij}, 0, \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{3}{4}, 1\}, \\
s \in & \{\sigma_i-\tfrac{1}{2}, \eta_{ij}+\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{3}{2}-\eta_{ij}, \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{3}{4}, 1, \tfrac{3}{2}\}, \\
s \in & \{\tfrac{\alpha_j+3}{2}, 1-\eta_{ij}, 0, \tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{3}{4}\}, \\
s \in & \{-\tfrac{\alpha_j}{2}, \eta_{ij}+\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{3}{2}, 1, \tfrac{3}{4}\}, \\
s \in & \{\eta_{ij}, \tfrac{3}{2}-\eta_{ij}, \tfrac{1}{2}, 1\} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

However, for generic values of $\sigma_i, \alpha_j, \eta_{ij}$, only the poles at $s \in \{2-\sigma_i, \sigma_i-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\alpha_j+3}{2}, -\frac{\alpha_j}{2}\}$ have Λ-independent residues. As we shall see, the other poles (except those at $s \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 2\}$) must cancel against those in $\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_2\, \mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)\, F(\Omega)$ on the first line.

3.3 Constructing $\Diamond_2$

Having defined the renormalized integral (3.1) for the class of functions F satisfying the growth conditions (3.14), (3.15), we now turn to the problem of relating it to a suitably regularized Mellin transform of the constant term $F^{(0)}$. For this purpose, following the strategy laid out in the introduction, we consider the invariant differential operators

$$\Diamond_2(\sigma) = \Delta_{Sp(4)}^{(4)} - (\sigma-\tfrac{1}{2})(\sigma-\tfrac{3}{2})\Delta_{Sp(4)} + \sigma(\sigma-\tfrac{1}{2})(\sigma-\tfrac{3}{2})(\sigma-2) . \tag{3.25}$$

and

$$\tilde{\Diamond}_2(\alpha) = \Delta_{Sp(4)}^{(4)} - \tfrac{1}{4}(\Delta_{Sp(4)})^2 + (\tfrac{3}{4}+\tfrac{1}{2}\alpha(\alpha+3))\Delta_{Sp(4)} - \tfrac{1}{4}\alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3) . \tag{3.26}$$

Here, $\Delta_{Sp(4)}$ is the usual Laplace-Beltrami operator, proportional to the quadratic Casimir of the action of Γ_2 on $L^2(\mathcal{H}_2)$, while $\Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(4)}$ is the invariant quartic differential operator proportional to the product of Maass' raising and lowering operators (see Appendix A for further details). These operators are normalized such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{Sp(4)}\,\mathcal{E}^\star_2(s,\Omega) =&2s(s-\tfrac{3}{2})\,\mathcal{E}^\star_2(s,\Omega)\ ,\\ \Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(4)}\,\mathcal{E}^\star_2(s,\Omega) =&s(s-\tfrac{1}{2})(s-1)(s-\tfrac{3}{2})\,\mathcal{E}^\star_2(s,\Omega)\ .\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

The operator $\diamondsuit_2(\sigma)$ is designed to annihilate $t^\sigma\varphi(\rho)$ for any function $\varphi(\rho)$. To see this, it suffices to write the operators $\Delta_{Sp(4)}, \Delta^{(2)}_{Sp(4)}$ in the coordinates appropriate to region I,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{Sp(4)} \to&\Delta_t+\Delta_\rho\\ \Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(4)} \to&(\Delta_t+\frac{3}{4})\,\Delta_\rho\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

where

$$\Delta_t = t^2\partial_{t^2}-t\partial_t\ ,\quad \Delta_\rho=\rho_2^2(\partial^2_{\rho_1}+\partial^2_{\rho_2})\ . \tag{3.29}$$

The formulae (3.28) hold up to terms which annihilate functions of t,ρ, independent of u_1,u_2,t_1. Using these relations, it is straightforward to check that $\diamondsuit_2(\sigma)$ annihilates $t^\sigma\varphi(\rho)$ for any function $\varphi(\rho)$.

Similarly, the operator $\tilde\diamondsuit_2(\alpha)$ is designed to annihilate $V^\alpha\tilde\varphi(\tau)$ for any function $\tilde\varphi(\tau)$. This is easily seen by writing $\Delta_{Sp(4)}, \Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(4)}$ in the coordinates appropriate to region II,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{Sp(4)} \to&\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_V+\Delta_\tau)\ ,\\ \Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(4)} \to&\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{4}(\Delta_V-\Delta_\tau)^2+\frac{1}{2}\Delta_V-\frac{3}{2}\Delta_\tau\right]\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

where

$$\Delta_V = V^2\partial_{V^2}+4V\partial_V\ ,\quad \Delta_\tau=\tau_2^2(\partial^2_{\tau_1}+\partial^2_{\tau_2})\,, \tag{3.31}$$

and the formulae (3.30) similarly hold up to terms annihilating functions of V,τ, independent of Ω_1.

Thus, in order to construct an operator which annihilates the non-decaying part of F in all regions, we may consider the product $\diamondsuit=\prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\diamondsuit_2(\sigma_i)\prod_{j=1}^{\tilde\ell}\tilde\diamondsuit_2(\alpha_j)$. However, in some circumstances, this may not be the most economical choice. Indeed, since $\diamondsuit_2(\sigma)=\diamondsuit_2(2-\sigma)$ and $\tilde\diamondsuit_2(\alpha)=\tilde\diamondsuit_2(-3-\alpha)$, we may keep only one element in each pair $(\sigma_i,2-\sigma_i)$ or $(\alpha,-3-\alpha)$, in cases where the two elements of the pair occur in the expansion. It may also be the case that an operator $\diamondsuit_2(\sigma_i)$ annihilates a term $V^{\alpha_j}\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)$ in the expansion (3.15), or conversely,

that $\tilde{\Diamond}_2(\alpha_j)$ annihilates a term $t^\sigma\varphi_i(\rho)$ in (3.14). To see when this can happen, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\Diamond_2(\sigma)\cdot V^\alpha\tilde{\varphi}(\tau) =&\frac{1}{4}V^\alpha\,[\Delta_\tau-(\alpha+2\sigma)(\alpha+2\sigma-1)]\,[\Delta_\tau-(\alpha-2\sigma+3)(\alpha-2\sigma+4)]\cdot\tilde{\varphi}(\tau)\ ,\\ \tilde{\Diamond}_2(\alpha)\cdot t^\sigma\varphi(\rho) =&-\frac{1}{4}\,[\Delta_\rho-(\alpha+\sigma)(\alpha+\sigma+1)]\,[\Delta_\rho-(2+\alpha-\sigma)(3+\alpha-\sigma)]\cdot\varphi(\rho)\ .\end{aligned}\tag{3.32}$$

Thus, this phenomenon may take place whenever $\varphi_i(\rho)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_j(\tau)$ are eigenmodes of the respective Laplace operators with a suitable eigenvalue. This happens, for example, when $F=\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s',\Omega)$, although this is a very special case since it is an eigenmode of $\Diamond_2(\sigma)$ and $\tilde{\Diamond}_2(\alpha)$ for any σ and α:

$$\begin{aligned}\Diamond_2(\sigma)\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega) =&(s-\sigma)(s-\sigma+\tfrac{1}{2})(s+\sigma-\tfrac{3}{2})(s+\sigma-2)\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)\ ,\\ \tilde{\Diamond}_2(\alpha)\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega) =&(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\alpha)(s-\tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha+3))\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)\ .\end{aligned}\tag{3.33}$$

As we shall see in §3.4, another example is the Narain lattice partition function $\Gamma_{d,d,2}$, whose non-decaying part is annihilated by $\Diamond_2(\frac{d}{2})$ in both regions I and II.

To take advantage of these possible simplifications, we shall take

$$\Diamond=\prod_{i\in I}\Diamond_2(\sigma_i)\prod_{j\in J}\tilde{\Diamond}_2(\alpha_j)\tag{3.34}$$

where I and J are suitable subsets of $1\dots\ell$ and $1\dots\tilde{\ell}$ such that $\Diamond$ annihilates both non-decaying parts (3.14) and (3.15) in regions I and II.

We now consider the regularized integral $\mathcal{R}_2^{\star,\Lambda}(\Diamond F)$. On the one hand, $\Diamond F$ is of fast decay in regions I and II, so the integral is finite as $\Lambda\to\infty$, and the standard Rankin-Selberg method reviewed in the introduction produces

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_2^\star(\Diamond F) =&\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\mathcal{R}_2^{\star,\Lambda}(\Diamond F)\\ =&\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\int_{\Gamma_{\infty,2}\backslash\mathcal{H}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,|\Omega_2|^s\,\Diamond F(\Omega)\\ =&\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\int_0^\infty V^{2-2s}\mathrm{d}V\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau_1\mathrm{d}\tau_2}{\tau_2^2}\,\Diamond(F^{(0)}-\tilde{\varphi})\,,\end{aligned}\tag{3.35}$$

where, in going from the second to the third line, we integrated over Ω_1 and used the fact that $\Diamond\tilde{\varphi}=0$. Integrating by parts and using (3.33), we find

$$\mathcal{R}_2^\star(\Diamond F)=\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,D_2(s)\int_0^\infty V^{2-2s}\mathrm{d}V\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau_1\mathrm{d}\tau_2}{\tau_2^2}\,(F^{(0)}-\tilde{\varphi})\,,\tag{3.36}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_2(s) = &\prod_{i\in I}(s-\sigma_i)(s-\sigma_i-\tfrac{1}{2})(s+\sigma_i-\tfrac{3}{2})(s+\sigma_i-2)\\
&\times\prod_{j\in J}(\alpha_j+1)(\alpha_j+2)(s+\tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_j)(s-\tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha_j+3))\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.37}
$$

Since $\Diamond F$ is of rapid decay, $\mathcal{R}_2^\star(\Diamond F)$ has a meromorphic continuation in s, invariant under $s\mapsto\frac{3}{2}-s$, with simple poles only at $s=0,\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{3}{2}$.

On the other hand, by integrating by parts in the regularized integral $\mathcal{R}_2^{\star,\Lambda}(\Diamond F)$ and using (3.33), we find after a tedious computation that, for finite Λ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)\,\Diamond F(\Omega) = D_2(s)\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)\,F(\Omega)\\
-\frac{1}{2}\sum_i\frac{D_2(s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,\Lambda^{s+\sigma_i-2}}{s+\sigma_i-2}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho)\,\varphi_i(\rho)\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,\rho)\\
-\frac{1}{2}\sum_i\frac{D_2(s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-3)\,\Lambda^{\sigma_i-\frac{1}{2}-s}}{\sigma_i-\frac{1}{2}-s}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho)\,\varphi_i(\rho)\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s-\tfrac{1}{2},\rho)\\
+\sum_j\frac{D_2(s)\,\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,\Lambda^{2s-\alpha_j-3}}{\alpha_j-2s+3}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\,\tau_2^{\alpha_j+3-2s}\,\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)\\
+\sum_j\frac{D_2(s)\,\zeta^\star(2s-2)\,\zeta^\star(4s-3)\,\Lambda^{-2s-\alpha_j}}{2s+\alpha_j}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\,\tau_2^{\alpha_j+2s}\,\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)\\
+\sum_j\frac{D_2(s)\,\zeta^\star(2s-1)\,\Lambda^{-2-\alpha_j}}{\alpha_j+2}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\,\tau_2^{\alpha_j+2}\mathcal{E}_1^\star(2s-1,\tau)\,\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.38}
$$

Dividing out by $D_2(s)$ and using the definition (3.23), we arrive at the desired relation between the renormalized integral and the renormalized Mellin transform,

$$
\boxed{\mathcal{R}_2^\star(F,s)=\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\int_0^\infty V^{2-2s}\mathrm{d}V\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau_1\mathrm{d}\tau_2}{\tau_2^2}\,(F^{(0)}-\tilde\varphi)=\frac{\mathcal{R}_2^\star(\Diamond F)}{D_2(s)}\ .}
\tag{3.39}
$$

Since $\mathcal{R}_2^\star(\Diamond F)$ has a meromorphic continuation in s with simple poles only at $s\in\{0,\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{3}{2}\}$, it follows that similarly, $\mathcal{R}_2^\star(F,s)$ has a meromorphic continuation in s, invariant under $s\mapsto\frac{3}{2}-s$, with poles located at most at $s\in\{0,\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{3}{2},\sigma_i,\sigma_i-\frac{1}{2},2-\sigma_i,\frac{3}{2}-\sigma_i,-\frac{\alpha_j}{2},\frac{\alpha_j+3}{2}\}$ with $i\in I,j\in J$.

For now, we assume that none of these values collected in curly brackets collide, so that the poles are simple. The simple pole at $s=\sigma\notin\{0,\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{3}{2}\}$ originates from the subtractions

in (3.23)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Res}_{s=\sigma}\mathcal{R}_2^\star(F,s) =&\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{\substack{i\\ \sigma=\sigma_i-\frac{1}{2}}} - \sum_{\substack{i\\ \sigma=2-\sigma_i}}\right)\zeta^\star(4\sigma_i-5)\,\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho)\,\varphi_i(\rho)\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(\sigma_i-1,\rho)\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{\substack{j\\ \sigma=-\frac{\alpha_j}{2}}} - \sum_{\substack{j\\ \sigma=\frac{\alpha_j+3}{2}}}\right)\zeta^\star(\alpha_j+3)\,\zeta^\star(2\alpha_j+4)\,\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\,\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

while the simple poles at $s=\sigma\in\{0,\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{3}{2}\}$ originate from the poles in $\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s)$. We define the renormalized integral of F by subtracting the power-like terms in Λ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,F(\Omega) \equiv \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\Bigg\{&\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,F(\Omega) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{i\\ \sigma_i\neq 2}}\frac{\Lambda^{\sigma_i-2}}{\sigma_i-2}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho)\,\varphi_i(\rho)\\ &-\frac{1}{2}\log\Lambda\sum_{\substack{i\\ \sigma_i=2}}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho)\,\varphi_i(\rho) + \sum_{\substack{j\\ \alpha_j\neq -3}}\frac{\Lambda^{-\alpha_j-3}}{\alpha_j+3}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\,\tau_2^{\alpha_j+3}\,\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)\\ &-\log\Lambda\sum_{\substack{j\\ \alpha_j=-3}}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\,\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)\Bigg\}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

With this definition, assuming that $\frac{3}{2}\notin\{\sigma_i-\frac{1}{2},2-\sigma_i,-\frac{\alpha_j}{2},\frac{\alpha_j+3}{2}\}$, the renormalized integral of F equals $1/r_2$ times the residue of $\mathcal{R}_2^\star(F,s)$ at the simple pole $s=\frac{3}{2}$,

$$\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,F(\Omega) = \frac{2}{\zeta^\star(3)}\mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{3}{2}}\mathcal{R}_2^\star(F,s)\,. \tag{3.42}$$

When the pole is of order greater than one, the two differ by a finite contribution,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,F(\Omega) =&\frac{2}{\zeta^\star(3)}\mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{3}{2}}\mathcal{R}_2^\star(F,s) + \frac{\zeta^\star(4)}{\zeta^\star(3)}\sum_{\sigma_i=\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho)\,\varphi_i(\rho)\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(\tfrac{3}{2},\rho)\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\sigma_i=2}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho)\,\varphi_i(\rho)\,\left[\log(64\pi^3e^{\gamma-4}\rho_2|\eta(\rho)|^4) - 4\,\frac{\zeta'(3)}{\zeta(3)}\right]\\ &+\zeta^\star(4)\sum_{\alpha_j=0}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\,\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)\\ &-\sum_{\alpha_j=-3}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\,\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)\,\left[\log\left(\frac{e^{2-\gamma/2}}{8\pi^{3/2}}\,\tau_2\right) + 2\,\frac{\zeta'(3)}{\zeta(3)}\right]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

For the example discussed in the next subsection, this difference was denoted by δ in [27, (4.30)], but was left implicit in that early attempt.

3.4 Lattice partition function

We now apply the previous result to the special case when F is the lattice partition function defined in (2.17). In this case, the asymptotic behavior in regions I and II is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi =& t^{\frac{d}{2}}\Gamma_{d,d,1}(\rho) \ , \\ \tilde\varphi =& V^{-d} + V^{-d}\sum_{(p,q)=1}{\sum_{\substack{(m_i,n^i)\in\mathbb{Z}^{2d}\\ m_i n^i=0}}}' e^{-\frac{\pi|p-q\tau|^2}{\tau_2 V}\mathcal{M}^2(m_i,n^i)} \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

so that the conditions of the previous subsection are obeyed with

$$\sigma_i = \tfrac{d}{2}, \quad \varphi_i = \Gamma_{d,d,1}, \quad \alpha_j = -d, \quad \tilde\varphi_j(\tau) = 1 \ , \quad \Diamond = \Diamond_2(\tfrac{d}{2}) \ . \tag{3.45}$$

Note that the second term in $\tilde\varphi$ (corresponding to the Ω_1-independent terms in (2.17) where the matrix $\mathcal{L}^{IJ}$ has rank one) is not of the form $V^\alpha\tilde\varphi(\tau)$, but it is obtained from $\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\rho_1\varphi$ by a modular transformation $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})\subset Sp(4,\mathbb{Z})$, so it is also annihilated by $\Diamond_2(\frac{d}{2})$.

The renormalized integral R.N. $\int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)\,\Gamma_{d,d,2}$ is obtained by applying the general prescription (3.23). The integrals R.N. $\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho)\Gamma_{d,d,1}\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s',\rho)$ appearing on the second and third line of this expression were evaluated in (2.19). The integrals appearing on the fourth and sixth line can be evaluated explicitly [10, (2.34)],

$$c_2(\gamma)\equiv \text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\,\tau_2^\gamma = \frac{2}{1-\gamma}\int_0^{\frac12}\mathrm{d}\tau_1\,(1-\tau_1^2)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} = \frac{2\,c(\frac{1-\gamma}{2})}{1-\gamma} \ , \tag{3.46}$$

where $c(\alpha)=\int_0^{\frac12}(1-x^2)^{-\alpha}=\frac12\,{}_2F_1(\alpha,\frac12;\frac32;\frac14)$. The pole at $\gamma=1$ arises from the logarithmic divergence of the integral over τ_2. We note the special values $c(0)=\frac12$ and $c(\frac12)=\frac{\pi}{6}$, consistent with the volume of the fundamental domain $\mathcal{V}_1=\frac{\pi}{3}$.

Finally, the integral $c_2(\gamma,s')\equiv\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\tau_2^\gamma\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s',\tau)$ appearing on the last line of (3.23) does not seem to be computable in closed form, although by using the fact that both factors in the integrand are eigenmodes of the Laplacian Δ, it can be reduced to an integral $\int_{-1/2}^{1/2}\mathrm{d}\tau_1\,\tau_2^{\gamma-1}\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,\tau)$, where the integrand is evaluated at $|\tau|=1$. Its analytic structure as a function of s and γ is determined by the constant terms in $\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,\tau)$, since the non-zero Fourier modes are exponentially suppressed as $\tau_2\to\infty$. In particular, it has simple poles at $\gamma+s=1$ and $\gamma=s$. Furthermore it vanishes at $\gamma=0$, since the renormalized integral of $\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,\tau)$ vanishes.

The renormalized integral is then defined as the limit

$$\begin{aligned}\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)\,\Gamma_{d,d,2} \equiv \mathcal{R}_2^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,2},s) = \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\Bigg[&\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\Omega)\,\Gamma_{d,d,2}\\ &-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\zeta^\star(4s-2)\Lambda^{s+\frac{d}{2}-2}}{s+\frac{d}{2}-2}\mathcal{R}_1^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,1},s)-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\zeta^\star(4s-3)\Lambda^{-s+\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\frac{d-1}{2}-s}\mathcal{R}_1^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,1},s-\tfrac{1}{2})\\ &-2\frac{\zeta^\star(2s)\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,c(s+\frac{d}{2}-1)\Lambda^{2s+d-3}}{(2s+d-2)(2s+d-3)}-2\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-2)\zeta^\star(4s-3)\,c(\frac{d+1}{2}-s)\Lambda^{d-2s}}{(2s-d)(2s-d-1)}\\ &-\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-1)\,c_2(2-d;2s-1)\Lambda^{d-2}}{d-2}\Bigg]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

On the other hand, using (B.2) the renormalized Mellin transform (3.39) evaluates to

$$\mathcal{R}_2^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,2},s) = \frac{\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,\Gamma(s+\frac{d-3}{2})\,\Gamma(s+\frac{d-4}{2})}{\pi^{2s+d-\frac{7}{2}}}\sum_{\substack{(m_i^I,n^{i,I})\in\mathbb{Z}^{4d}/GL(2,\mathbb{Z})\\ m_i^I n^{iJ}+m_i^J n^{iI}=0\\ \mathrm{rank}(m_i^I,n^{i,I})=2}}|\mathcal{L}|^{-s+\frac{3-d}{2}}\,, \tag{3.48}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the 2×2 matrix defined in (2.18). This is recognized as the completed Langlands-Eisenstein series attached to the two-index antisymmetric representation of $SO(d,d,\mathbb{Z})$,[5]

$$\mathcal{R}_2^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,2},s) = 2\,\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda^2 V}^{SO(d,d),\star}(s+\tfrac{d-3}{2})\,. \tag{3.49}$$

Indeed, it is known from the Langlands constant term formula that $\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda^2 V}^{SO(d,d),\star}(s')$ has, for generic dimension d, simple poles at

$$s' = 0,\tfrac{1}{2},\tfrac{d-3}{2},\tfrac{d-2}{2},\tfrac{d-1}{2},\tfrac{d}{2},d-2,d-\tfrac{3}{2}\,, \tag{3.50}$$

which translate into poles at $s=\frac{3-d}{2},\frac{4-d}{2},0,\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{3}{2},\frac{d-1}{2},\frac{d}{2}$ as predicted by the Rankin-Selberg method (in particular, the apparent pole at $s=\frac{d+1}{2}$ in (3.48) cancels). Moreover, from the fact that the regularized integral $\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s)\,\Gamma_{d,d,2}$ has only simple poles at $s=0,\frac{1}{2},1,\frac{3}{2}$, we deduce from (3.47) the value of the residues of $\mathcal{R}_2^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,2},s)$ at the poles,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{d}{2}}\mathcal{R}_2^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,2},s) =&\zeta^\star(2)\,\zeta^\star(d-2)\,\zeta^\star(2d-3)\,,\\ \mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{d-1}{2}}\mathcal{R}_2^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,2},s) =&\zeta^\star(2d-5)\,\mathcal{E}_V^{SO(d,d),\star}(d-2)\,,\\ \mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{3}{2}}\mathcal{R}_2^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,2},s) =&\frac{1}{2}\zeta^\star(3)\,\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\Gamma_{d,d,2}\,,\\ \mathrm{Res}_{s=1}\mathcal{R}_2^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,2},s) =&\frac{1}{2}\zeta^\star(3)\,\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,[\mathrm{Res}_{s=1}\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s)]\;\Gamma_{d,d,2}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

[5]This relation is discussed in more detail in Appendix B.

where the renormalized integrals are defined for generic d by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2} \mathrm{d}\mu_2\, \Gamma_{d,d,2} = \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_2\, \Gamma_{d,d,2} - \frac{2\Lambda^{d-3}c(\frac{d}{2}-1)}{(d-2)(d-3)} - \frac{\Lambda^{\frac{d}{2}-2}}{d-4}\,\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1\, \Gamma_{d,d,1}\right],\\
&\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_2\, [\mathrm{Res}_{s=1}\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s)]\,\Gamma_{d,d,2} = \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\left[\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_2\ [\mathrm{Res}_{s=1}\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s)]\,\Gamma_{d,d,2}\right.\\
&\left.-\frac{\zeta^\star(2)\,\Lambda^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}{\zeta^\star(3)(d-2)}\,\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1\, \Gamma_{d,d,1} - \frac{2\Lambda^{d-2}c(\frac{d-1}{2})\,\log\Lambda}{(d-1)(d-2)\zeta^\star(3)} - \frac{\Lambda^{\frac{d-3}{2}}}{2(d-3)\zeta^\star(3)}\mathcal{R}_1^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,1},\tfrac{1}{2})\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

For the values of d where the subtracted terms in these equations are singular ($d=2,3,4$ for the first line and $d=1,2,3$ in the second line), we define the renormalized integrals by subtracting the corresponding logarithmic divergences, as in (2.23). In these cases, the Rankin-Selberg transform (3.48) has a pole of higher degree, and the residue at the pole differs from the renormalized integral by a computable term. Focussing on the residue at $s=\frac{3}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_2\Gamma_{3,3,2} =&\frac{4\pi}{\zeta(3)}\mathrm{Res}_{s=3/2}\mathcal{R}_2^\star(\Gamma_{3,3,2},s) + \frac{\pi}{3}(\log\Lambda + \mathrm{cte}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda}}\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1\Gamma_{3,3,1}\,,\\
\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_2\Gamma_{4,4,2} =&\frac{4\pi}{\zeta(3)}\mathrm{Res}_{s=3/2}\mathcal{R}_2^\star(\Gamma_{4,4,2},s) + \frac{1}{2}\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1\Gamma_{4,4,1}\,(\log\Lambda + \mathrm{cte})\\
&- 2\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_V^{SO(4,4),\star}(2) + c(1)\,\Lambda\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_V^{SO(4,4),\star}(2) = \lim_{s\to 2}\left[\mathcal{E}_V^{SO(4,4),\star}(s) - \frac{1}{4(s-2)}\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\Gamma_{4,4,1}\right]$.

For $d=2$, it was shown in [27] that the Langlands-Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda^2 V}^{SO(2,2),\star}$ can be written as a sum of $SL(2)$ Eisenstein series

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda^2 V}^{SO(2,2),\star} = \zeta^\star(2s+1)\,\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(2s-1)\,[\mathcal{E}_1^\star(2s,T) + \mathcal{E}_1^\star(2s,U)]\ . \tag{3.54}$$

The residue at the simple pole $s=\frac{3}{2}$ yields

$$\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2} \mathrm{d}\mu_2\Gamma_{2,2,2} = 2\zeta^\star(2)\,[\mathcal{E}_1^\star(3,T) + \mathcal{E}_1^\star(3,U)]\ . \tag{3.55}$$

For $d<2$, the Rankin-Selberg transform (3.48) vanishes, but the renormalized integral is still non-trivial,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_2 =&2\zeta^\star(2)\left(\zeta^\star(4) - \frac{1}{4\Lambda^2}\right),\\
\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_2\Gamma_{1,1,2} =&2\zeta^\star(2)\zeta^\star(4)(R^2+1/R^2) - \frac{\pi}{9\Lambda^{3/2}}(R+1/R) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{8\Lambda^2} + \frac{\pi}{12\Lambda^2}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

reproducing the result of [27, (4.46)] in the limit $\Lambda\to\infty$.

3.5 Product of two Eisenstein series

We now apply our general result to the case $F = \mathcal{E}_2^\star(s';\Omega)$. The asymptotic behavior in region I and II can be read off from (3.12) and (3.13), with $s \mapsto s'$. In this case, rather than using the operator (3.34), it is easiest to exploit the fact that F is an eigenmode of the Laplacian, see (3.27). Taking $\Diamond = \Delta_{Sp(4)} - 2s'(s' - \frac{3}{2})$, we trivially get $\mathcal{R}_2^\star(\Diamond F) = 0$, and therefore $\mathcal{R}_2^\star(F) = 0$. This reflects the general fact that the renormalized integral (or more generally, the renormalized Rankin-Selberg transform) of an eigenmode of the Laplacian vanishes. The regularized integral is therefore purely given by the boundary terms in (3.22). Since the $\varphi_i(\rho)$ are $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ Eisenstein series, and since the renormalized integral of the product of two Eisenstein series vanishes [9], the contributions from region I vanish, leaving only the last three lines in (3.22):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_2^{\star,\Lambda}(\mathcal{E}_2(s');s) =& \frac{\zeta^\star(2s)\zeta^\star(4s-2)\zeta^\star(2s')\zeta^\star(4s'-2)\, c(s+s'-1)\Lambda^{2s+2s'-3}}{(s+s'-1)(2s+2s'-3)} \\
&+\frac{\zeta^\star(2s)\zeta^\star(4s-2)\zeta^\star(2s'-2)\zeta^\star(4s'-3)\, c\left(s-s'+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Lambda^{2s-2s'}}{(2s-2s'+1)(s-s')} \\
&+\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-2)\zeta^\star(4s-3)\zeta^\star(2s')\zeta^\star(4s'-2)\, c\left(-s+s'+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Lambda^{2s'-2s}}{(2s-2s'-1)(s-s')} \\
&+\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-2)\zeta^\star(4s-3)\zeta^\star(2s'-2)\zeta^\star(4s'-3)\, c(-s-s'+2)\Lambda^{-2s-2s'+3}}{(s+s'-2)(2s+2s'-3)} \\
&-\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-1)\,\zeta^\star(2s')\,\zeta^\star(4s'-2)\, c_2(2-2s',2s-1)\,\Lambda^{2s'-2}}{2-2s'} \\
&-\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-1)\,\zeta^\star(2s'-2)\,\zeta^\star(4s'-3)\, c_2(2s'-1,2s-1)\,\Lambda^{1-2s'}}{2s'-1} \\
&-\frac{\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,\zeta^\star(2s'-1)\, c_2(2-2s,2s'-1)\,\Lambda^{2s-2}}{2-2s} \\
&-\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-2)\,\zeta^\star(4s-3)\,\zeta^\star(2s'-1)\, c_2(2s-1,2s'-1)\,\Lambda^{1-2s}}{2s-1} \\
&-\zeta^\star(2s-1)\,\zeta^\star(2s'-1)\,\Lambda^{-1}\, c_2(1,2s-1,2s'-1)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

where

$$c_2(\gamma,s,s') = \text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1\, \tau_2^\gamma\, \mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,\tau)\, \mathcal{E}_1^\star(s',\tau)\ . \tag{3.58}$$

Although the functions $c_2(\gamma,s)$ and $c_2(\gamma,s,s')$ do not seem to be computable in closed form, one may check that the apparent poles at $s' = s$, $s' = 1-s$ and images under the symmetries $s \mapsto \frac{3}{2} - s$ and $s \leftrightarrow s'$ cancel, leaving only the poles at $s = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}$ and $s' = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}$. The above gives an explicit example of Maass-Selberg relations at genus 2.

4 Degree three

We now turn to the case of Siegel modular forms of degree three, with at most polynomial growth at the cusp. As before, our aim is to define the renormalized integral

$$\mathcal{R}_3^\star(F,s) = \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_3} \mathrm{d}\mu_3 \, \mathcal{E}_3^\star(s,\Omega)\, F(\Omega) \ , \tag{4.1}$$

and relate it to the generalized Mellin transform of the zero-th Fourier coefficient $F^{(0)}(\Omega_2)$, defined with a suitable subtraction.

4.1 Regularizing the divergences

Our first task is to understand the possible sources of divergence in the integral (3.1). For this we choose a fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_3$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &(1) \qquad -\frac{1}{2} < \mathrm{Re}(\Omega_{IJ}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ &(2) \qquad \mathrm{Im}(\Omega) \in \mathcal{F}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})} \\ &(3) \qquad |C\Omega + D| > 1 \text{ for all } \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(6,\mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}$ is a fundamental domain for the action of $PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ on the space of positive definite symmetric matrices of rank 3. Various distinct fundamental domains are discussed in the literature [40–42], however we shall find it convenient to use the one which appears in the maximal degeneration described by the tetrahedron three-loop diagram (see Figure 3). Indeed, it follows from the Torelli and Schottky theorems for metric graphs in [43,44] that any generic positive definite rank 3 matrix can be uniquely conjugated by an element of $GL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ into the period matrix of the tetrahedron diagram,[6]

$$\Omega_2 = \begin{pmatrix} L_1+L_2+R_3 & -L_2 & -L_1 \\ -L_2 & L_2+L_3+R_1 & -L_3 \\ -L_1 & -L_3 & L_1+L_3+R_2 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{4.3}$$

up to an automorphism of this diagram. Since the symmetry group of the tetrahedron is σ_4, which acts by permuting the 4 faces, we can fix this symmetry by requiring that the sum of length of the edges of each face be ordered,

$$L_1+L_2+R_3 < L_2+L_3+R_1 < L_1+L_3+R_2 < R_1+R_2+R_3 \ . \tag{4.4}$$

[6]The tetrahedron diagram is one of the five diagrams which appear in the maximal degenerations of a genus 3 Riemann surface (see e.g. Figure 1 in [37]). The period matrix of the other four lie in lower dimensional cells of the moduli space of tropical Abelian varieties of dimension 3. See [45] for a discussion of the relevance of tropical geometry for string amplitudes.



Figure 3: Tetrahedron three-loop diagram, and its one-loop, two-loop and three-loop counterterms, corresponding to the regions III, II, I, 0 defined in (4.19).

Thus, we choose for $\mathcal{F}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}$ the space of all matrices (4.3) where L_i, R_i are positive real variables such that (4.4) is obeyed. The integration measure is normalized as in [27],

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_3(\Omega) = \frac{\prod_{I\leq J} \mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{Re}(\Omega_{IJ})\,\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{Im}(\Omega_{IJ})}{|\Omega_2|^4} \ , \tag{4.5}$$

so that the volume of the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_3$ is $\mathcal{V}_3 = 2\zeta^\star(2)\zeta^\star(4)\zeta^\star(6) = \frac{\pi^6}{127575}$.

The first source of divergences is the region I where $\mathrm{Im}(\Omega_{33})$ is scaled to infinity, keeping the other entries in Ω fixed (or equivalently, $R_2 \to \infty$ keeping R_1, R_3, L_1, L_2, L_3 fixed). In this region, it is convenient to parametrize

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Omega} & \tilde{\Omega}\tilde{u}_2 + \tilde{u}_1 \\ \tilde{u}_2^t\tilde{\Omega} + \tilde{u}_1^t & t_1 + \mathrm{i}(t + \tilde{u}_2^t\tilde{\Omega}_2\tilde{u}_2) \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{4.6}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tilde{\Omega} \in \mathcal{H}_2, (\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, t_1) \in \mathbb{R}^5$, so that the region of interest is $t \to \infty$, keeping $\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, t_1$ finite. In the language of string theory, the region $t \to \infty$ is responsible for one-loop infrared subdivergences, with the parameter t being interpreted as the Schwinger time parameter around the loop. Since the stabilizer of the cusp $t = \infty$ is $Sp(4,\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^4 \ltimes \mathbb{Z}$, the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_3$ simplifies in this limit to $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{F}_2 \times [-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]^4/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times [-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]$, where the center $\mathbb{Z}_2$ of $Sp(4,\mathbb{Z})$ acts by flipping the sign of $(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2)$. In the coordinates (3.5), the integration measure becomes

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_3 = \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^4}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_2(\tilde{\Omega})\,\mathrm{d}^2\tilde{u}_1\,\mathrm{d}^2\tilde{u}_2\,\mathrm{d}t_1 \ , \tag{4.7}$$

where $\mathrm{d}\mu_2(\tilde{\Omega})$ is the measure defined in (3.4).

The second source of divergences is the region II where the 2×2 submatrix $\mathrm{Im}\begin{pmatrix}\Omega_{22} & \Omega_{23}\\ \Omega_{23} & \Omega_{33}\end{pmatrix}$

scales to infinity (or equivalently, $R_1, R_2, L_3 \to \infty$, keeping L_1, L_2, R_3 fixed). In the language of string theory, this region is responsible for two-loop infrared subdivergences. In this region, it is useful to parametrize

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \rho & \rho u_2 - u_1 \\ u_2^t \rho - u_1^t & t_1 + \mathrm{i}(t_2 + u_2^t \rho_2 u_2) \end{pmatrix} , \quad t_2 = \frac{1}{V\tau_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \tau_1 \\ \tau_1 & |\tau|^2 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{4.8}$$

with $\rho \in \mathcal{H}_1$, $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_1$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^4$, and t_1 is a two-by-two symmetric matrix. The stabilizer of the cusp $V \to 0$ is $[Sp(2,\mathbb{Z}) \times GL(2,\mathbb{Z})]/\mathbb{Z}_2 \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^4 \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^3$, where the first two factors act by fractional linear transformations on ρ and τ, respectively, and the last two factors by integer translations of (u_1, u_2, t_1). Therefore, in the region $V \to 0$ the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_3$ reduces to $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{F}_1 \times (\mathcal{F}_1/\mathbb{Z}_2) \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^4/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^3$. In this domain, the matrix t_2 can be understood as the period matrix of a two-loop sunset diagram (see Figure 3),

$$t_2 = \begin{pmatrix} L_1' + L_2' & -L_2' \\ -L_2' & L_3' + L_2' \end{pmatrix} , \quad 0 < L_2' < L_1' < L_3' . \tag{4.9}$$

The locus $\tau_1 = 0$ corresponds to the separating degeneration limit for the two-loop sunset subdiagram. In the coordinates (4.8), the integration measure becomes

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_3(\Omega) = 2\, V^4 \mathrm{d}V\, \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\, \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho)\, \mathrm{d}^3 t_1\, \mathrm{d}^2 u_1 \mathrm{d}^2 u_2 . \tag{4.10}$$

Finally, the third source of divergences is the region III where all entries in Ω_2 scale to infinity, keeping Ω_1 fixed, corresponding to primitive three-loop divergences. In this region it is useful to parametrize

$$\Omega = \Omega_1 + \mathrm{i}\mathcal{V}^{-1}\, \hat{\Omega}_2 , \tag{4.11}$$

where $\hat{\Omega}_2$ is an element of $SL(3)/SO(3)$ in Iwasawa parametrization,

$$\Omega_2 = \mathcal{V}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{L^2} & \frac{A_1}{L^2} & \frac{A_2}{L^2} \\ \frac{A_1}{L^2} & \frac{L}{\tau_2} + \frac{A_1^2}{L^2} & \frac{L\tau_1}{\tau_2} + \frac{A_1 A_2}{L^2} \\ \frac{A_2}{L^2} & \frac{L\tau_1}{\tau_2} + \frac{A_1 A_2}{L^2} & \frac{L|\tau|^2}{\tau_2} + \frac{A_2^2}{L^2} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{4.12}$$

The stabilizer of the cusp is the parabolic subgroup $PGL(3,\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^6$, where the second factor acts by integer translation of the entries in Ω_1. Therefore, in the region $\mathcal{V} \to 0$, the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_3$ reduces to $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})} \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^6$, where $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})} = \mathcal{F}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})} \cap \{|\Omega_2| = 1\}$ is a fundamental domain of the action of $PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ on the space of unimodular positive definite matrices. The integration measure in these variables is

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_3(\Omega) = 6\, \mathcal{V}^5 \mathrm{d}\mathcal{V}\, \mathrm{d}\hat{\mu}_3\, \mathrm{d}^6 \Omega_1 , \tag{4.13}$$

where

$$\mathrm{d}\hat{\mu}_3 = \frac{\mathrm{d}L}{L^4}\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau_1\mathrm{d}\tau_2}{\tau_2^2}\,\mathrm{d}A_1\mathrm{d}A_2\,, \tag{4.14}$$

is the invariant measure on $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}$, normalized so that $\int_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}}\mathrm{d}\hat{\mu}_3 = \frac{1}{2}\zeta^\star(2)\zeta^\star(3)$ [40].

The region III itself admits two higher-codimension cusps, corresponding to i) $Y_{11} \ll Y_{22} \sim Y_{33}$, and ii) $Y_{11} \sim Y_{22} \ll Y_{33}$. The first cusp corresponds to $L \to \infty$ keeping τ fixed. In this limit, $PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ is broken to $GL(2,\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2$, so $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}$ reduces to $\mathbb{R}^+ \times (\mathcal{F}_1/\mathbb{Z}_2) \times [0,1]^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$, where the three factors correspond to the variables $L, \tau, (A_1, A_2)$. In order to study the cusp ii), it is useful to change variables to

$$L' = \sqrt{L\tau_2}\,, \quad \tau' = -A_1 + \mathrm{i}\sqrt{\frac{L^3}{\tau_2}}\,, \quad A_1' = -\tau_1\,, \quad A_2' = A_1\tau_1 - A_2\,. \tag{4.15}$$

The measure (4.14) takes the same form in primed coordinates, reflecting the fact that (4.15) acts as an outer automorphism $\hat{\Omega}_2 \mapsto \sigma\hat{\Omega}_2^{-1}\sigma^T$, with σ being the permutation matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The second cusp then corresponds to $L' \to \infty$ keeping τ' fixed, so that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}$ reduces again to $\mathbb{R}^+ \times (\mathcal{F}_1/\mathbb{Z}_2) \times [0,1]^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$, where the three factors correspond to the variables $L', \tau', (A_1', A_2')$. These two cusps intersect when $Y_{11} \ll Y_{22} \ll Y_{33}$, corresponding to $L \gg \tau_2^{1/3} \gg 1$, or equivalently $L' \gg \tau_2'^{1/3} \gg 1$.

In addition, region III contains loci where one the Schwinger parameters L_i, R_i is scaled to zero:

$$\begin{array}{ll} L_1 \to 0:\ A_2 \to 0\,, & R_1 \to 0:\ A_1(1+A_1+A_2) + \frac{L^3}{\tau_2}(1+\tau_1) = 0 \\ L_2 \to 0:\ A_1 \to 0\,, & R_2 \to 0:\ A_2(1+A_1+A_2) + \frac{L^3}{\tau_2}(|\tau|^2+\tau_1) = 0 \\ L_3 \to 0:\ A_1A_2 + \frac{L^3\tau_1}{\tau_2} \to 0\,, & R_3 \to 0:\ A_1 + A_2 = 1 \end{array} \tag{4.16}$$

These loci all correspond to the descendent of the tetrahedron diagram in Figure 1. They are also obtained by degenerating the three-loop ladder diagram on the top row. The latter being two-particle reducible, it corresponds to a double separating degeneration of the Riemann surface. According to our assumptions about the integrand, the loci (4.16) do not generate further infrared divergences. Intersections of these loci generate further degenerations of the tetrahedron diagram, which do not contribute to infrared divergences.

In order to regulate all divergences, it is therefore be sufficient to enforce a cut-off on the largest element in (4.4). More conveniently, we shall define the truncated fundamental domain as

$$\mathcal{F}_3^\Lambda = \mathcal{F}_3 \cap \{t < \Lambda\}\,, \tag{4.17}$$

where t is the variable defined in (4.6), and define the regularized integral by

$$\mathcal{R}_3^{\star,\Lambda}(s) = \int_{\mathcal{F}_3^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_3\,\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s,\Omega)\,F(\Omega)\,. \tag{4.18}$$

In order to disentangle overlapping divergences and extract the polynomial dependence on Λ, we shall further split the integration domain into 4 regions, schematically

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_3^0 =&\mathcal{F}_3 \cap \{Y_{11} \le Y_{22} \le Y_{33} \le \Lambda_1\}\,,\\ \mathcal{F}_3^I =&\mathcal{F}_3 \cap \{Y_{11} \le Y_{22} \le \Lambda_1 \le Y_{33} \le \Lambda\}\,,\\ \mathcal{F}_3^{II} =&\mathcal{F}_3 \cap \{Y_{11} \le \Lambda_1 \le Y_{22} \le Y_{33} \le \Lambda\}\,,\\ \mathcal{F}_3^{III} =&\mathcal{F}_3 \cap \{\Lambda_1 \le Y_{11} \le Y_{22} \le Y_{33} \le \Lambda\}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

In region I, t is therefore bounded by Λ, while $\tilde{\Omega}$ lies in $\mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda_1}$. In region II,

$$\rho \in \mathcal{F}_1^{\Lambda_1}\ , \quad \tau \in \mathcal{F}_1^{\sqrt{\Lambda/\Lambda_1}}\ , \quad \frac{\tau_2}{\Lambda} < V < \frac{1}{\tau_2 \Lambda_1}\ . \tag{4.20}$$

Finally, in region III, for a purely imaginary diagonal period matrix, the range of the variables $\mathcal{V}, L, \tau_2$ is given by

$$1 \le \tau_2 \le \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{\Lambda_1}}\ , \quad \tau_2 < L^3 < \frac{\Lambda}{\tau_2 \Lambda_1}\ , \quad \frac{L\tau_2}{\Lambda} < \mathcal{V} < \frac{1}{\Lambda_1 L^2}\ . \tag{4.21}$$

Clearly, the dependence on Λ_1 cancels when summing over all regions 0, I, II, III. We shall, henceforth, explicitly display only Λ dependent terms.

4.2 Renormalizing the integral

Having defined the regularized integral (4.18), we shall now define the renormalized integral (4.1) by subtracting the terms which diverge as the cut-off is removed. For this we need to make assumptions on the behavior of the integrand near the cusps. First, we recall the behavior of the Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s,\Omega)$ in region I,

$$\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s,\Omega) \to t^s\, \mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\tilde{\Omega}) + t^{2-s}\, \mathcal{E}_2^\star(s-\tfrac{1}{2},\tilde{\Omega})\ , \tag{4.22}$$

in region II,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s,\Omega) \to& V^{-2s}\, \zeta^\star(4s-2)\, \mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,\rho) + V^{2s-4}\, \zeta^\star(4s-5)\, \mathcal{E}_1^\star(s-1,\rho)\\ &+ V^{-3/2}\, \mathcal{E}_1^\star(2s-\tfrac{3}{2},\tau)\, \mathcal{E}_1^\star(s-\tfrac{1}{2},\rho)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

and finally in region III,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s,\Omega) \to& \zeta^\star(2s)\zeta^\star(4s-2)\, \mathcal{V}^{-3s} + \zeta^\star(2s-3)\zeta^\star(4s-5)\, \mathcal{V}^{3s-6}\\ &+ \zeta^\star(2s-1)\, \mathcal{V}^{-s-1} \mathcal{E}_V^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}\left(2s-1, \hat{\Omega}_2\right)\\ &+ \zeta^\star(2s-2)\, \mathcal{V}^{s-3} \mathcal{E}_{\Lambda^2 V}^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}\left(2s-\tfrac{3}{2}, \hat{\Omega}_2\right)\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_V^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}\left(s', \hat{\Omega}_2\right)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda^2 V}^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}\left(s', \hat{\Omega}_2\right)$ are Eisenstein series for $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$, attached to the fundamental and anti-fundamental representations, respectively. They are meromorphic

functions of s', with a simple pole at $s'=0$ and $s'=\frac{3}{2}$, and are exchanged under $s'\mapsto\frac{3}{2}-s'$.

We shall assume that similarly to the Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}_3^\star$, the function $F(\Omega)$ is regular in the bulk of the Siegel upper-half plane, but has at most polynomial growth near the cusps. Namely, we assume that F behaves in region I as

$$F(\Omega)=\varphi+\mathcal{O}(t^{-N})\qquad\forall N>0\ ,\quad \varphi=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}t^{\sigma_i}\,\varphi_i(\tilde\Omega)\ , \tag{4.25}$$

where $\varphi_i(\tilde\Omega)$ is a Siegel modular function of degree 2, satisfying the same assumptions as $F(\Omega)$ in (3.14) and (3.15); in region II, as

$$F(\Omega)=\tilde\varphi+\mathcal{O}(V^N)\qquad\forall N>0\ ,\quad \tilde\varphi=\sum_{j=1}^{\tilde\ell}V^{\alpha_j}\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)\,\tilde\varphi'_j(\rho)\,, \tag{4.26}$$

where $\tilde\varphi_j(\tau),\tilde\varphi'_j(\rho)$ are modular functions under $PGL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ and $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ with polynomial growth at the cusps $\tau\to\mathrm{i}\infty$ and $\rho\to\mathrm{i}\infty$, with $\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)$ smooth on the separating degeneration locus $\tau_1=0$ and on its images under $PGL(2,\mathbb{Z})$; and finally in region III as

$$F(\Omega)=\hat\varphi+\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}^N)\qquad\forall N>0\ ,\quad \hat\varphi=\sum_{k=1}^{\hat\ell}\mathcal{V}^{\gamma_k}\,\hat\varphi_k(\hat\Omega_2)\,, \tag{4.27}$$

where $\hat\varphi_k(\hat\Omega_2)$ is a modular function on $\mathcal{F}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}$, with polynomial growth at the cusps $L\to\infty$ and $L'\to\infty$, and regular at the loci (4.16) and their images under $PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})$. Of course, the expansions of $\varphi_i,\tilde\varphi_j$ and $\hat\varphi_k$ at the respective cusps must agree whenever the regimes of validity overlap.

Under these assumptions on the asymptotic behavior of F, it is now straightforward to extract the leading dependence of the regularized integral (4.18) on Λ as $\Lambda\to\infty$. From region I, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{F}_3^{\Lambda,I}}\mathrm{d}\mu_3\,\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s)\,F(\Omega)\sim&\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\frac12\int_{\Lambda_1}^{\Lambda}\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^4}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2^{\Lambda_1}}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\left[t^{s+\sigma_i}\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\tilde\Omega)+t^{2-s+\sigma_i}\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s-\tfrac12,\tilde\Omega)\right]\varphi_i(\tilde\Omega)\\
=&\frac12\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\frac{\Lambda^{s+\sigma_i-3}}{s+\sigma_i-3}\left(\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\tilde\Omega)\,\varphi_i(\tilde\Omega)+\dots\right)\\
&+\frac12\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\frac{\Lambda^{\sigma_i-1-s}}{\sigma_i-1-s}\left(\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s-\tfrac12,\tilde\Omega)\,\varphi_i(\tilde\Omega)+\dots\right)\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

where the dots stand for Λ_1-dependent terms, which will cancel against contributions from

region II and III. From region II, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{F}_3^{\Lambda,II}} \mathrm{d}\mu_3\, \mathcal{E}_3^\star(s)\, F(\Omega) \sim \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\tilde\ell} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1^{\Lambda_1}} \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho) \int_{\mathcal{F}_1^{\sqrt{\Lambda/\Lambda_1}}} \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau) \int_{\tau_2/\Lambda}^{1/(\tau_2\Lambda_1)} \mathrm{d}V\, V^{4+\alpha_j} \\
\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)\,\tilde\varphi_j'(\rho)\,\Big[V^{-2s}\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s,\rho) + V^{2s-4}\,\zeta^\star(4s-5)\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s-1,\rho) \\
+V^{-\frac{3}{2}}\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(2s-\tfrac{3}{2},\tau)\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s-\tfrac{1}{2},\rho)\Big] \\
= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\tilde\ell}\Bigg[\frac{\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,\Lambda^{2s-5-\alpha_j}}{2s-5-\alpha_j}\left(\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\tau_2^{5+\alpha_j-2s}\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)\right)\left(\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s)\,\tilde\varphi_j'(\rho)\right) \\
-\frac{\zeta^\star(4s-5)\,\Lambda^{-\alpha_j-1-2s}}{2s+\alpha_j+1}\left(\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\tau_2^{1+\alpha_j+2s}\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)\right)\left(\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s-1)\tilde\varphi_j'(\rho)\right) \\
-\frac{\Lambda^{-\alpha_j-\frac{7}{2}}}{\alpha_j+\frac{7}{2}}\left(\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\tau_2^{\alpha_j+\frac{7}{2}}\mathcal{E}_1^\star(2s-\tfrac{3}{2})\,\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)\right)\left(\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s-\tfrac{1}{2})\,\tilde\varphi_j'(\rho)\right)\Bigg] + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Finally, in region III,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{F}_3^{\Lambda,III}} \mathrm{d}\mu_3\, \mathcal{E}_3^\star(s)\, F(\Omega) \sim 6\sum_{k=1}^{\hat\ell}\int_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}} \mathrm{d}\hat\mu_3 \int_{L\tau_2/\Lambda}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}^{5+\gamma_k}\mathrm{d}\mathcal{V}\,\hat\varphi_k(\hat\Omega_2) \\
\big[\zeta^\star(2s)\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,\mathcal{V}^{-3s} + \zeta^\star(2s-3)\zeta^\star(4s-5)\,\mathcal{V}^{3s-6} \\
+\zeta^\star(2s-1)\,\mathcal{V}^{-s-1}\mathcal{E}_V^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}(2s-1) + \zeta^\star(2s-2)\,\mathcal{V}^{s-3}\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda^2V}^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}\left(2s-\tfrac{3}{2}\right)\big] \\
=6\sum_{k=1}^{\hat\ell}\Bigg[\frac{\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,\Lambda^{3s-\gamma_k-6}}{3s-\gamma_k-6}\text{R.N.}\int_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}}\mathrm{d}\hat\mu_3\,(L\tau_2)^{6+\gamma_k-3s}\,\hat\varphi_k(\hat\Omega_2) \\
-\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-3)\,\zeta^\star(4s-5)\,\Lambda^{-3s-\gamma_k}}{3s+\gamma_k}\text{R.N.}\int_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}}\mathrm{d}\hat\mu_3\,(L\tau_2)^{\gamma_k+3s}\,\hat\varphi_k(\hat\Omega_2) \\
+\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-1)\,\Lambda^{s-5-\gamma_k}}{s-5-\gamma_k}\text{R.N.}\int_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}}\mathrm{d}\hat\mu_3\,(L\tau_2)^{5+\gamma_k-s}\,\mathcal{E}_V^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}(2s-1)\,\hat\varphi_k(\hat\Omega_2) \\
-\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-2)\,\Lambda^{-s-3-\gamma_k}}{s+3+\gamma_k}\text{R.N.}\int_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}}\mathrm{d}\hat\mu_3\,(L\tau_2)^{s+3+\gamma_k}\,\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda^2V}^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}\left(2s-\tfrac{3}{2}\right)\hat\varphi_k(\hat\Omega_2)\Bigg] + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

We therefore define the renormalized integral by subtracting the divergent terms,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_3^\star(F,s) = \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\Bigg\{ & \int_{\mathcal{F}_3^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_3\, \mathcal{E}_3^\star(s)\, F(\Omega) \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left[\frac{\Lambda^{s+\sigma_i-3}}{s+\sigma_i-3}\,\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s)\,\varphi_i(\tilde\Omega) + \frac{\Lambda^{\sigma_i-1-s}}{\sigma_i-1-s}\,\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s-\tfrac12)\,\varphi_i(\tilde\Omega)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\tilde\ell}\left[\frac{\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,\Lambda^{2s-5-\alpha_j}}{2s-5-\alpha_j}\left(\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\tau_2^{5+\alpha_j-2s}\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)\right)\left(\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s)\tilde\varphi_j'(\rho)\right)\right. \\
& \quad - \frac{\zeta^\star(4s-5)\,\Lambda^{-\alpha_j-1-2s}}{2s+\alpha_j+1}\left(\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\tau_2^{1+\alpha_j+2s}\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)\right)\left(\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s-1)\tilde\varphi_j'(\rho)\right) \\
& \quad \left. -\frac{\Lambda^{-\alpha_j-\frac72}}{\alpha_j+\frac72}\left(\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\tau_2^{\alpha_j+\frac72}\mathcal{E}_1^\star(2s-\tfrac32)\,\tilde\varphi_j(\tau)\right)\left(\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s-\tfrac12)\,\tilde\varphi_j'(\rho)\right)\right] \\
& -6\sum_{k=1}^{\hat\ell}\left[\frac{\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,\Lambda^{3s-\gamma_k-6}}{3s-\gamma_k-6}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}}\mathrm{d}\hat\mu_3\,(L\tau_2)^{6+\gamma_k-3s}\,\hat\varphi_k(\hat\Omega_2)\right. \\
& \quad - \frac{\zeta^\star(2s-3)\,\zeta^\star(4s-5)\,\Lambda^{-3s-\gamma_k}}{3s+\gamma_k}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}}\mathrm{d}\hat\mu_3\,(L\tau_2)^{\gamma_k+3s}\,\hat\varphi_k(\hat\Omega_2) \\
& \quad + \frac{\zeta^\star(2s-1)\,\Lambda^{s-5-\gamma_k}}{s-5-\gamma_k}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}}\mathrm{d}\hat\mu_3\,(L\tau_2)^{5+\gamma_k-s}\,\mathcal{E}_V^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}(2s-1)\,\hat\varphi_k(\hat\Omega_2) \\
& \quad \left. -\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-2)\,\Lambda^{-s-3-\gamma_k}}{s+3+\gamma_k}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}}\mathrm{d}\hat\mu_3\,(L\tau_2)^{s+3+\gamma_k}\,\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda^2V}^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}\left(2s-\tfrac32\right)\,\hat\varphi_k(\hat\Omega_2)\right]\Bigg\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.31}
$$

4.3 Constructing $\Diamond_3$

In this subsection, we construct an invariant differential operator annihilating the non-decaying part of F, in such a way that the right-hand side of (4.30), at finite value of Λ, is recognized as $\int_{\mathcal{F}_3^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_3\,\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s)\,\Diamond F$, up to a polynomial in s.

Region I

In analogy with (3.25) we introduce the invariant differential operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Diamond_3(\sigma) = & \Delta_{Sp(6)}^{(6)} - (\sigma-1)(\sigma-2)\Delta_{Sp(6)}^{(4)} + (\sigma-\tfrac12)(\sigma-1)(\sigma-2)(\sigma-\tfrac52)\Delta_{Sp(6)} \\
& - \sigma(\sigma-\tfrac12)(\sigma-1)(\sigma-2)(\sigma-\tfrac52)(\sigma-3)\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.32}
$$

where $\Delta_{Sp(6)}, \Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(6)}, \Delta^{(6)}_{Sp(6)}$ are the quadratic, quartic and sextic Casimir operators of $Sp(6)$ (see Appendix A), normalized such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{Sp(6)}\, \mathcal{E}^\star_3(s) =& 3s(s-2)\, \mathcal{E}^\star_3(s) \ , \\
\Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(6)}\, \mathcal{E}^\star_3(s) =& 3s(s-\tfrac{1}{2})(s-\tfrac{3}{2})(s-2)\, \mathcal{E}^\star_3(s) \ , \\
\Delta^{(6)}_{Sp(6)}\, \mathcal{E}^\star_3(s) =& s(s-\tfrac{1}{2})(s-1)^2(s-\tfrac{3}{2})(s-2)\, \mathcal{E}^\star_3(s) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

In terms of the coordinates $t, \tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, t_1$ appropriate to region I,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{Sp(6)} \to& \Delta_t + \Delta_{Sp(4)} \ , \\
\Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(6)} \to& \Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(4)} + (\Delta_t + \tfrac{5}{4})\, \Delta_{Sp(4)} \ , \\
\Delta^{(6)}_{Sp(6)} \to& (\Delta_t + 2)\, \Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(4)} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

where $\Delta_t = t^2\partial_t^2 - 2t\partial_t$. Using this, one may check that the operator $\diamondsuit_3(\sigma)$ annihilates $t^\sigma\, \varphi(\tilde{\Omega})$ for any function $\varphi(\tilde{\Omega})$, independent of $\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, t_1$. The Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}^\star_3(s)$ is an eigenmode of $\diamondsuit_3(\sigma)$ for any σ,

$$\diamondsuit_3(\sigma)\, \mathcal{E}^\star_3(s) = (s-\sigma)\, (s-\sigma+\tfrac{1}{2})\, (s-\sigma+1)\, (s+\sigma-2)\, (s+\sigma-\tfrac{5}{2})\, (s+\sigma-3)\, \mathcal{E}^\star_3(s) \ . \tag{4.35}$$

Region II

In the coordinates appropriate to region II, we have instead

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{Sp(6)} \to& \frac{1}{2}\Delta_V + \Delta_\rho + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_\tau \ , \\
\Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(6)} \to& \frac{1}{16}\left[8\Delta_\rho(\Delta_\tau + \Delta_V) + 32\Delta_\rho + \Delta_\tau^2 - 2\Delta_\tau\Delta_V - 20\Delta_\tau + \Delta_V^2 + 4\Delta_V\right] \ , \\
\Delta^{(6)}_{Sp(6)} \to& \frac{1}{16}\left[-2\Delta_\rho\Delta_\tau\Delta_V + (\Delta_\tau^2 + \Delta_V^2)\Delta_\rho + (10\Delta_V - 7\Delta_\tau + 24)\Delta_\rho\right] \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

with $\Delta_V = V^2\partial_V^2 + 6V\partial_V$. Using these formulae, one can check that the following degree 12 invariant operator

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\diamondsuit}_3(\alpha) =& \Big(-4\Delta^3_{Sp(6)}\Delta^{(6)}_{Sp(6)} + \Delta^2_{Sp(6)}[\Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(6)}]^2 + 18\Delta_{Sp(6)}\Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(6)}\Delta^{(6)}_{Sp(6)} - 4[\Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(6)}]^3 - 27[\Delta^{(6)}_{Sp(6)}]^2\Big) \\
&+ \Big(-(2\alpha(\alpha+5)+11)\Delta^3_{Sp(6)}\Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(6)} + 3(6\alpha(\alpha+5)+31)\Delta^2_{Sp(6)}\Delta^{(6)}_{Sp(6)} \\
&+2(3\alpha(\alpha+5)+17)\Delta_{Sp(6)}[\Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(6)}]^2 - 18(3\alpha(\alpha+5)+16)\Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(6)}\Delta^{(6)}_{Sp(6)}\Big) \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}\Big(4(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)(\alpha+4)\Delta^4_{Sp(6)} \\
&+(2\alpha(\alpha+5)(4\alpha(\alpha+5)+47)+293)\Delta^2_{Sp(6)}\Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(6)} \\
&-6(\alpha(\alpha+5)(16\alpha(\alpha+5)+161)+399)\Delta_{Sp(6)}\Delta^{(6)}_{Sp(6)} \\
&-4(\alpha(\alpha+5)(7\alpha(\alpha+5)+80)+237)[\Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(6)}]^2\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1}{2}\Big(-(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)(\alpha+4)(8\alpha(\alpha+5)+37)\Delta_{Sp(6)}^3\\
&+(\alpha(\alpha+5)(4\alpha(\alpha+5)(\alpha(\alpha+5)+14)+265)+447)\Delta_{Sp(6)}\Delta_{Sp(6)}^{(4)}\\
&+(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)(\alpha(\alpha+5)(52\alpha(\alpha+5)+495)+1134)\Delta_{Sp(6)}^{(6)}\Big)\\
&+\frac{1}{16}(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)\Big((\alpha+1)(\alpha+4)(8\alpha(\alpha+5)(12\alpha(\alpha+5)+101)+1549)\Delta_{Sp(6)}^2\\
&-8(\alpha(\alpha+5)(\alpha(\alpha+5)(4\alpha(\alpha+5)+49)+216)+378)\Delta_{Sp(6)}^{(4)}\Big)\\
&-\frac{1}{8}((\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)(\alpha+4)(\alpha(\alpha+5)(4\alpha(\alpha+5)(8\alpha(\alpha+5)+91)+1197)+945)\Delta_{Sp(6)})\\
&+\frac{1}{16}\alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)^2(\alpha+3)^2(\alpha+4)(\alpha+5)(2\alpha+1)(2\alpha+3)(2\alpha+7)(2\alpha+9)\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.37}
$$

annihilates $V^\alpha\tilde{\varphi}(\tau)\,\tilde{\varphi}'(\rho)$ for arbitrary $\tilde{\varphi}(\tau),\tilde{\varphi}'(\rho)$. The Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s)$ is an eigenmode of $\widetilde{\Diamond}_3(\alpha)$ for all α,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\Diamond}_3(\alpha)\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s) =&\frac{1}{16}(\alpha+2)^2(\alpha+3)^2(2\alpha+3)(2\alpha+7)(2\alpha-4s+9)(2\alpha+4s+1)\\
&\times(\alpha-2s+5)(\alpha-2s+4)(\alpha+2s)(\alpha+2s+1)\,\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s)\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.38}
$$

Region III

Finally, in the coordinates appropriate to region III,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{Sp(6)} \to&\frac{1}{3}\Delta_{\mathcal{V}}+\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{SL(3)}\ ,\\
\Delta_{Sp(6)}^{(4)} \to&\frac{1}{27}\Delta_{\mathcal{V}}^2+\frac{1}{4}\Delta_{\mathcal{V}}+\frac{1}{16}(\Delta_{SL(3)})^2+\frac{3}{4}(1+\mathcal{V}\partial_{\mathcal{V}})\Delta_{SL(3)}^{(3)}-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{SL(3)}\ ,\\
\Delta_{Sp(6)}^{(6)} \to&\frac{1}{46656}\Big(27\Delta_{SL(3)}^{(3)}+9(3+2\mathcal{V}\partial_{\mathcal{V}})\Delta_{SL(3)}-4\mathcal{V}\partial_{\mathcal{V}}(3+\mathcal{V}\partial_{\mathcal{V}})(3+2\mathcal{V}\partial_{\mathcal{V}})\Big)\\
&\times\Big(27\Delta_{SL(3)}^{(3)}+9(9+2\mathcal{V}\partial_{\mathcal{V}})\Delta_{SL(3)}-4(3+\mathcal{V}\partial_{\mathcal{V}})(6+\mathcal{V}\partial_{\mathcal{V}})(9+2\mathcal{V}\partial_{\mathcal{V}})\Big)\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.39}
$$

where $\Delta_{\mathcal{V}}=\mathcal{V}^2\partial_{\mathcal{V}}^2+7\mathcal{V}\partial_{\mathcal{V}}$, and $\Delta_{SL(3)},\Delta_{SL(3)}^{(3)}$ denote the quadratic and cubic Casimirs on $SL(3)/SO(3)$, normalized such that the two-parameter Langlands-Eisenstein series satisfies [46]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{SL(3)}\mathcal{E}^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}(s_1,s_2) &= \left[\frac{4}{3}(s_1^2+s_2^2+s_1s_2)-2(s_1+s_2)\right]\mathcal{E}^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}(s_1,s_2)\\
\Delta_{SL(3)}^{(3)}\mathcal{E}^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}(s_1,s_2) &= -\frac{2}{27}(s_1-s_2)(2s_1+4s_2-3)(2s_2+4s_1-3)\,\mathcal{E}^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}(s_1,s_2)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.40}
$$

Using these formulae, one can check that the following degree 8 invariant operator

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Diamond}_3(\gamma) =&\frac{1}{16}\left[\Delta_{Sp(6)}^2 - 4\Delta_{Sp(6)}^{(4)}\right]^2 \\ &+\left(-\frac{1}{4}(\gamma+2)(\gamma+4)\Delta_{Sp(6)}^3 + (\gamma+2)(\gamma+4)\Delta_{Sp(6)}\Delta_{Sp(6)}^{(4)} - 4(\gamma+3)^2\Delta_{Sp(6)}^{(6)}\right) \\ &+\frac{1}{8}\left((\gamma(\gamma+6)(3\gamma(\gamma+6)+43)+146)\Delta_{Sp(6)}^2 - 4(\gamma+3)^2(\gamma(\gamma+6)+4)\Delta_{Sp(6)}^{(4)}\right) \\ &-\frac{1}{4}(\gamma+3)^2(\gamma(\gamma+6)(\gamma(\gamma+6)+10)+20)\Delta_{Sp(6)} \\ &+\frac{1}{16}\gamma(\gamma+1)(\gamma+2)(\gamma+3)^2(\gamma+4)(\gamma+5)(\gamma+6)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

annihilates $\mathcal{V}^\gamma\hat{\varphi}(\hat{\Omega}_2)$ for any $\hat{\varphi}(\hat{\Omega}_2)$. The Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s)$ is an eigenmode of $\widehat{\Diamond}_3(\gamma)$ for all α,

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Diamond}_3(\gamma)\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s) =&\frac{1}{16}(\gamma-3s+6)(\gamma-s+3)(\gamma-s+4)(\gamma-s+5) \\ &\times(\gamma+s+1)(\gamma+s+2)(\gamma+s+3)(\gamma+3s)\,\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s)\ .\end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

All regions

Combining these results, we see that $\Diamond = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\Diamond_3(\sigma_i)\prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{\ell}}\widetilde{\Diamond}_3(\alpha_j)\prod_{k=1}^{\hat{\ell}}\widehat{\Diamond}_3(\gamma_k)$ annihilates the decaying part of F in all regions. However, this may not be the most economical choice. Indeed, the symmetry properties $\Diamond_3(\sigma)=\Diamond_3(3-\sigma)$, $\widetilde{\Diamond}_3(\alpha)=\widetilde{\Diamond}_3(-5-\alpha)$, $\widehat{\Diamond}_3(\gamma)=\widehat{\Diamond}_3(-6-\gamma)$ allow to keep only one element in each pair, in case the two elements are present. Second, the operator $\Diamond_3(\sigma)$ in region II factorizes into

$$\begin{aligned}\Diamond_3(\sigma) =&\tfrac{1}{16}[\Delta_\rho-(\sigma-1)(\sigma-2)] \\ &[\Delta_\tau-\alpha(\alpha-1)+2\sigma(1-2\sigma-2\alpha)]\,[\Delta_\tau-\alpha(\alpha-1)+2(\sigma-3)(5+2\sigma-2\alpha)]\,,\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

so it annihilates $V^\alpha\tilde{\varphi}(\tau)\,\tilde{\varphi}'(\rho)$ whenever one of these factors vanishes. Similarly, in region III it factorizes into

$$\begin{aligned}\Diamond_3(\sigma) =&\frac{1}{46656}\left[-4(2\gamma-6\sigma+15)(\gamma-3\sigma+6)(\gamma-3\sigma+9)+9\Delta_{SL(3)}(2\gamma-6\sigma+15)+27\Delta_{SL(3)}^{(3)}\right] \\ &\times\left[-4(\gamma+3\sigma-3)(\gamma+3\sigma)(2\gamma+6\sigma-3)+9\Delta_{SL(3)}(2\gamma+6\sigma-3)+27\Delta_{SL(3)}^{(3)}\right]\,,\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

so it annihilates $\mathcal{V}^\gamma\hat{\varphi}(\hat{\Omega}_2)$ whenever either of these factors vanishes.

As for the operator $\widetilde{\Diamond}_3(\alpha)$, it factorizes in region I as

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Diamond}_3(\alpha) =& \frac{1}{16}\left((\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)(\alpha+4)+\Delta_{Sp(4)}^2-(2\alpha(\alpha+5)+11)\Delta_{Sp(4)}-4\Delta_{Sp(4)}^{(4)}\right)\\ &\times\left[(2\alpha-2\sigma+7)(2\alpha-2\sigma+9)(\alpha-\sigma+3)(\alpha-\sigma+5)-\Delta_{Sp(4)}(2\alpha-2\sigma+7)(2\alpha-2\sigma+9)+4\Delta_{Sp(4)}^{(4)}\right]\\ &\times\left[(\alpha+\sigma)(\alpha+\sigma+2)(2\alpha+2\sigma+1)(2\alpha+2\sigma+3)-\Delta_{Sp(4)}(2\alpha+2\sigma+1)(2\alpha+2\sigma+3)+4\Delta_{Sp(4)}^{(4)}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

and in region III as

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Diamond}_3(\alpha) =& -\frac{1}{2985984}\left(-16(\alpha+2)^2(\alpha+3)^2(2\alpha+3)(2\alpha+7)+4\Delta_{SL(3)}^3-3(12\alpha(\alpha+5)+71)\Delta_{SL(3)}^2\right.\\ &\left.+24(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)(4\alpha(\alpha+5)+23)\Delta_{SL(3)}-27[\Delta_{SL(3)}^{(3)}]^2\right)\\ &\times(-4(6\alpha-4\gamma+3)(3\alpha-2\gamma)(3\alpha-2\gamma+3)+9\Delta_{SL(3)}(6\alpha-4\gamma+3)+27\Delta_{SL(3)}^{(3)})\\ &\times(-4(3\alpha+2\gamma+12)(3\alpha+2\gamma+15)(6\alpha+4\gamma+27)+9\Delta_{SL(3)}(6\alpha+4\gamma+27)-27\Delta_{SL(3)}^{(3)}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

Finally, the operator $\widehat{\Diamond}_3(\gamma)$ factorizes in region I as

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Diamond}_3(\gamma) =& \frac{1}{16}\left((\gamma-\sigma+3)(\gamma-\sigma+4)(\gamma-\sigma+5)(\gamma-\sigma+6)+[\Delta_{Sp(4)}]^2\right.\\ &\left.-\Delta_{Sp(4)}\left(2\gamma^2+\gamma(18-4\sigma)+2(\sigma-9)\sigma+39\right)-4\Delta_{Sp(4)}^{(4)}\right)\\ &\times\left((\gamma+\sigma)(\gamma+\sigma+1)(\gamma+\sigma+2)(\gamma+\sigma+3)+[\Delta_{Sp(4)}]^2\right.\\ &\left.-\Delta_{Sp(4)}\left(2\gamma^2+\gamma(4\sigma+6)+2\sigma(\sigma+3)+3\right)-4\Delta_{Sp(4)}^{(4)}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

and in region II as

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Diamond}_3(\gamma) =& \frac{1}{16}\left[\Delta_\rho-(\alpha-\gamma-1)(\alpha-\gamma)\right]\left[\Delta_\rho-(\alpha+\gamma+5)(\alpha+\gamma+6)\right]\\ &\times\left[\Delta_\rho^2-2\Delta_\rho\left((\gamma+3)^2+\Delta_\tau\right)+(\Delta_\tau-(\gamma+2)(\gamma+3))(\Delta_\tau-(\gamma+3)(\gamma+4))\right].\end{aligned} \tag{4.48}$$

To take advantage of these possible simplifications, we shall choose

$$\Diamond=\prod_{i\in I}\Diamond_3(\sigma_i)\prod_{j\in J}\widetilde{\Diamond}_3(\alpha_j)\prod_{k\in K}\widehat{\Diamond}_3(\gamma_k) \tag{4.49}$$

where I,J,K are suitable subsets of $1\dots\ell$, $1\dots\tilde{\ell}$, $1\dots\hat{\ell}$ such that $\Diamond$ annihilates the non-decaying parts (4.25),(4.25),(4.27) of F in all regions I, II, III.

Having constructed an operator $\Diamond$ which annihilates the non-decaying part of F for all degenerations, we can now compute the integral $\mathcal{R}_3^\Lambda(\Diamond F)$ using the standard Rankin-Selberg

method,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_3^\star(\lozenge F) &= \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty} \mathcal{R}_3^{\star,\Lambda}(\lozenge F)\\ &= \zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\int_{\Gamma_{\infty,3}\backslash\mathcal{H}_3} \mathrm{d}\mu_3\,|\Omega_2|^s\,\lozenge F(\Omega)\\ &= 6\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\int_0^\infty \mathcal{V}^{5-3s}\mathrm{d}\mathcal{V}\int_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}} \mathrm{d}\hat{\mu}_3\,\lozenge(F^{(0)}-\tilde{\varphi})\end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

where, in going from the second to the last line, we integrated over Ω_1 and used the fact that $\lozenge\tilde{\varphi}=0$. Integrating by parts and using (4.35), (4.38), (4.42), we find

$$\mathcal{R}_3^\star(\lozenge F) = 6\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,D_3(s)\int_0^\infty \mathcal{V}^{5-3s}\mathrm{d}\mathcal{V}\int_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z}}} \mathrm{d}\hat{\mu}_3\,(F^{(0)}-\tilde{\varphi}) \tag{4.51}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}D_3(s) = &\prod_{i\in I}(s-\sigma_i)\,(s-\sigma_i+\tfrac{1}{2})\,(s-\sigma_i+1)\,(s+\sigma_i-2)\,(s+\sigma_i-\tfrac{5}{2})\,(s+\sigma_i-3)\\ &\times\prod_{j\in J}\frac{1}{16}(\alpha_j+2)^2(\alpha_j+3)^2(2\alpha_j+3)(2\alpha_j+7)(2\alpha_j-4s+9)(2\alpha_j+4s+1)\\ &(\alpha_j-2s+5)(\alpha_j-2s+4)(\alpha_j+2s)(\alpha_j+2s+1)\\ &\times\prod_{k\in K}\frac{1}{16}(\gamma+k-3s+6)(\gamma_k-s+3)(\gamma_k-s+4)(\gamma_k-s+5)\\ &(\gamma_k+s+1)(\gamma_k+s+2)(\gamma_k+s+3)(\gamma_k+3s)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.52}$$

Since $\lozenge F$ is of rapid decay, $\mathcal{R}_3^\star(\lozenge F)$ has a meromorphic continuation in s with simple poles at $s\in\{0,\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},2\}$, invariant under $s\mapsto 2-s$. On the other hand, by integrating by parts in the regularized integral $\mathcal{R}_3^{\star,\Lambda}(\lozenge F)$ and using (4.35),(4.38),(4.42), a very tedious computation shows that for finite Λ,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{F}_3^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s,\Omega)\,\lozenge F(\Omega) = D_3(s)\int_{\mathcal{F}_3^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_3\,\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s,\Omega)\,F(\Omega)\\ -\frac{1}{2}\sum_i\frac{D_3(s)\,\Lambda^{s+\sigma_i-3}}{s+\sigma_i-3}\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2(\tilde{\Omega})\,\varphi_i(\tilde{\Omega})\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s,\tilde{\Omega})-\dots\end{aligned} \tag{4.53}$$

where the dots stand for the divergent remaining terms in (4.31), multiplied by $D_3(s)$. It follows that the renormalized integral (4.31) is equal to the renormalized Mellin transform,

$$\boxed{\mathcal{R}_3^\star(F,s) = 6\,\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\int_0^\infty\mathcal{V}^{5-3s}\mathrm{d}\mathcal{V}\int_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}}\mathrm{d}\hat{\mu}_3(F^{(0)}-\tilde{\varphi}) = \frac{\mathcal{R}_3^\star(\lozenge F)}{D_3(s)}\,.} \tag{4.54}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{R}_3^\star(F,s)$ has a meromorphic continuation in s, invariant under $s\mapsto 2-s$, with poles located at most at $s\in\{0,\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2},2\}$ and at the zeros of $D_3(s)$. Assuming that $D_3(s)$ does not

vanish at $s = 2$, so that $\mathcal{R}_3^\star(F,s)$ has a simple pole at $s = 2$, its residue then produces the renormalized integral of F,

$$\text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_3} \mathrm{d}\mu_3\, F(\Omega) = \frac{2}{\zeta^\star(3)} \text{Res}_{s=2} \mathcal{R}_3^\star(F,s)\ . \tag{4.55}$$

If the order of the pole at $s = 2$ is greater than one, then the renormalized integral of F (defined by minimally subtracting the divergent terms in $\int_{\mathcal{F}_3^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_3\, F$) will differ from the residue of $\mathcal{R}_3^\star(F,s)$ at $s = 2$ by a finite term δ, which is easily computed from (4.31).

4.4 Lattice partition function

We now apply the previous result to the special case F is the lattice partition function defined in (2.17). In this case, the asymptotic behavior in regions I, II, III is given by

$$\varphi = t^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma_{d,d,2}(\tilde{\Omega})\ , \quad \tilde{\varphi} = V^{-d}\, \Gamma_{d,d,1}(\rho)\ , \quad \hat{\varphi} = \mathcal{V}^{-3d/2}\ , \tag{4.56}$$

so that we can use the operator $\Diamond = \Diamond_3(\frac{d}{2})$. The renormalized integral R.N. $\int_{\mathcal{F}_3} \mathrm{d}\mu_3\, \mathcal{E}_3^\star(s)\, \Gamma_{d,d,3}$ is obtained by applying the general prescription (4.31). The integrals appearing on the second to fifth line of this expression were evaluated in (2.19), (3.46). (3.48). The last four lines of (4.31) involve integrals over the fundamental domain of $PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
c_3(\alpha,\beta) =& \text{R.N.} \int_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}} \mathrm{d}\hat{\mu}_3\, L^\alpha\, \tau_2^\beta\ , \\
c_3(\alpha,\beta;s') =& \text{R.N.} \int_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}} \mathrm{d}\hat{\mu}_3\, L^\alpha\, \tau_2^\beta\, \mathcal{E}_V^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}(s')\,, \\
\tilde{c}_3(\alpha,\beta;s') =& \text{R.N.} \int_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}} \mathrm{d}\hat{\mu}_3\, L^\alpha\, \tau_2^\beta \mathcal{E}_{\Lambda^2 V}^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}(s')\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.57}$$

Although these integrals do not seem to be computable in closed form, it is easy to determine their analytic properties. As mentioned below (4.14), the fundamental domain $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}$ admits two different cusps, corresponding to i) $L \to \infty$ keeping τ fixed, ii) $L' \to \infty$ keeping τ' fixed. In the first case, $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}$ reduces to $\mathbb{R}_V^+ \times \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(2,\mathbb{Z}),\tau} \times [0,1]^2_{A_1,A_2}/\mathbb{Z}^2$. The integral over L in the first integral $c_3(\alpha,\beta)$ is then convergent if $\text{Re}(\alpha) < 3$, and has a pole at $\alpha = 3$ with residue

$$\text{Res}_{\alpha=3}\, c_3(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{1}{4} \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\, \tau_2^\beta = \frac{c\left(\frac{1-\beta}{2}\right)}{2(1-\beta)}\ . \tag{4.58}$$

For what concerns the other two integrals in (4.57), using the asymptotic behavior of the Eisenstein series as $L \to \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_V^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}\left(\hat{\Omega}_2, s'\right) &\to \zeta^\star(2s')\, L^{2s'} + L^{\frac{3}{2}-s'}\, \mathcal{E}_1^\star(s'-\tfrac{1}{2},\tau)\,, \\
\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda^2 V}^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}\left(\hat{\Omega}_2, s'\right) &\to \zeta^\star(2s'-2)\, L^{3-2s'} + L^{s'}\, \mathcal{E}_1^\star(s',\tau)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.59}$$

we similarly find that $c_3(\alpha,\beta;s')$ has poles at $\alpha+2s'=3$ and at $\alpha-s'=\frac{3}{2}$, with residues

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\alpha=3-2s'}\, c_3(\alpha,\beta;s') = \frac{\zeta^\star(2s')\, c\left(\frac{1-\beta}{2}\right)}{2(1-\beta)}\ , \quad \mathrm{Res}_{\alpha=s'+\frac{3}{2}}\, c_3(\alpha,\beta;s') = \frac{1}{4}c_2(\beta;s'-\tfrac{1}{2})\,. \tag{4.60}$$

Similarly, $\tilde{c}_3(\alpha,\beta;s')$ has poles at $\alpha=2s'$ and at $\alpha=3-s'$, with residues

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\alpha=2s'}\, \tilde{c}_3(\alpha,\beta;s') = \frac{\zeta^\star(2s'-2)\, c\left(\frac{1-\beta}{2}\right)}{2(1-\beta)}\ , \quad \mathrm{Res}_{\alpha=3-s'}\, \tilde{c}_3(\alpha,\beta;s') = \frac{1}{4}c_2(\beta;s')\,. \tag{4.61}$$

In the vicinity of the cusp ii), rewriting $L^\alpha\,\tau_2^\beta = {L'}^{\frac{\alpha+3\beta}{2}}{\tau_2'}^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}}$ and using (4.63), one finds that $c_3(\alpha,\beta)$ is convergent if $\mathrm{Re}(\alpha+3\beta)<6$, and has a pole at $\alpha+3\beta=6$ with residue

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\alpha+3\beta=6}\, c_3(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{c\,(\beta-1)}{4(\beta-1)}\ . \tag{4.62}$$

Similarly, using

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{E}_V^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}\left(\hat{\Omega}_2,s'\right) \to \zeta^\star(2s'-2)\,{L'}^{3-2s'} + {L'}^{s'}\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s',\tau)\,,\\
&\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda^2V}^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}\left(\hat{\Omega}_2,s'\right) \to \zeta^\star(2s')\,{L'}^{2s'} + {L'}^{\frac{3}{2}-s'}\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s'-\tfrac{1}{2},\tau)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.63}$$

as $L'\to\infty$, we see that $c_3(\alpha,\beta,s')$ has poles at $\alpha+3\beta-4s'=0$ and $\alpha+3\beta+2s'=6$, with residues

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\alpha+3\beta=4s'}\, c_3(\alpha,\beta;s') = \frac{\zeta^\star(2s'-2)\, c\left(\frac{2+\alpha-\beta}{2}\right)}{2+\alpha-\beta}\ , \quad \mathrm{Res}_{\alpha+3\beta+2s'=6}\, c_3(\alpha,\beta,s') = \frac{1}{4}c_2\left(\tfrac{\alpha-\beta}{2};s'\right)\ , \tag{4.64}$$

while $\tilde{c}_3(\alpha,\beta,s')$ has poles at $\alpha+3\beta+4s'=6$ and at $\alpha+3\beta-2s'=3$, with residues

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\alpha+3\beta+4s'=6}\, \tilde{c}_3(\alpha,\beta;s') = \frac{\zeta^\star(2s')\, c\left(\frac{2+\alpha-\beta}{2}\right)}{2+\alpha-\beta}\ , \quad \mathrm{Res}_{\alpha+3\beta-2s'=3}\, \tilde{c}_3(\alpha,\beta;s') = \frac{1}{4}c_2(\beta;s'-\tfrac{1}{2})\,. \tag{4.65}$$

These equations are of course consistent with the functional equation $\mathcal{E}_V^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}(\hat{\Omega}_2,s') = \mathcal{E}_{\Lambda^2V}^{\star;SL(3,\mathbb{Z})}(\hat{\Omega}_2,\frac{3}{2}-s')$. For brevity, we shall denote $c_3(\alpha)=c_3(\alpha,\alpha), c_3(\alpha;s)=c_3(\alpha,\alpha;s)$ and similarly for $\tilde{c}_3$. Moreover, it is useful to note the special values

$$c_3(0) = \frac{1}{24}\zeta(3) = \frac{1}{2}\zeta^\star(2)\,\zeta^\star(3)\ , \quad c_3(0;s) = \tilde{c}_3(0;s) = 0\ , \tag{4.66}$$

where the first relation is the volume of the fundamental domain of $PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ [40], and the second relation follows from the fact that the renormalized integral of Eisentein series vanishes.

Returning to (4.31), the renormalized integral is therefore given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_3}\mathrm{d}\mu_3\,\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s)\,\Gamma_{d,d,3}(\Omega)\equiv\mathcal{R}_3^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,3},s)=\lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\Bigg\{&\int_{\mathcal{F}_3^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_3\,\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s)\,\Gamma_{d,d,3}(\Omega)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\Lambda^{s+\frac{d}{2}-3}}{s+\frac{d}{2}-3}\,\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s)\,\Gamma_{d,d,2}+\frac{\Lambda^{\frac{d-2}{2}-s}}{\frac{d-2}{2}-s}\,\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\mathcal{E}_2^\star(s-\tfrac12)\,\Gamma_{d,d,2}\right]\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,c_2(5-d-2s)\,\Lambda^{2s-5+d}}{2s-5+d}\left(\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s)\,\Gamma_{d,d,1}\right)\right.\\
&\quad-\frac{\zeta^\star(4s-5)\,c_2(1-d+2s)\,\Lambda^{d-1-2s}}{2s-d+1}\left(\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s-1)\,\Gamma_{d,d,1}\right)\\
&\quad\left.-\frac{c_2\left(\frac72-d;2s-\frac32\right)\Lambda^{d-\frac72}}{\frac72-d}\left(\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\mathcal{E}_1^\star(s-\tfrac12)\,\Gamma_{d,d,1}\right)\right]\\
&-6\left[\frac{\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,c_3\left(6-\frac{3d}{2}-3s\right)\Lambda^{3s+\frac{3d}{2}-6}}{3s+\frac{3d}{2}-6}-\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-3)\,\zeta^\star(4s-5)\,c_3\left(-\frac{3d}{2}+3s\right)\Lambda^{-3s+\frac{3d}{2}}}{3s-\frac{3d}{2}}\right.\\
&\quad\left.+\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-1)\,c_3\left(5-\frac{3d}{2}-s;2s-1\right)\Lambda^{s-5+\frac{3d}{2}}}{s-5+\frac{3d}{2}}-\frac{\zeta^\star(2s-2)\,\tilde{c}_3\left(s+3-\frac{3d}{2};2s-\frac32\right)\Lambda^{-s-3+\frac{3d}{2}}}{s+3-\frac{3d}{2}}\right]\Bigg\}\,.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.67}$$

On the other hand, using (B.2) the renormalized Mellin transform (4.54) evaluates to (see Appendix B for more details)

$$\mathcal{R}_3^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,3},s)=\frac{\zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(4s-2)\,\Gamma(s+\frac{d-4}{2})\,\Gamma(s+\frac{d-5}{2})\,\Gamma(s+\frac{d-6}{2})}{\pi^{3s+\frac32(d-5)}}\sum_{\substack{(m_i^I,n^{i,I})\in\mathbb{Z}^{6d}/GL(3,\mathbb{Z})\\ m_i^In^{iJ}+m_i^Jn^{iI}=0\\ \mathrm{rank}(m_i^I,n^{i,I})=3}}|\mathcal{L}|^{-s+\frac{4-d}{2}}\,,\tag{4.68}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the 3×3 matrix defined in (2.18). This is recognized as the completed Langlands-Eisenstein series attached to the three-index antisymmetric representation of $SO(d,d,\mathbb{Z})$

$$\mathcal{R}_3^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,3},s)=2\,\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda^3V}^{SO(d,d),\star}(s+\tfrac{d-4}{2})\,.\tag{4.69}$$

Indeed, it is known from the Langlands constant term formula that $\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda^3V}^{SO(d,d),\star}(s')$ has, for generic dimension d, simple poles at

$$s'=0,\tfrac12,1,\tfrac{d-4}{2},\tfrac{d-3}{2},\tfrac{d-1}{2},\tfrac{d}{2},d-3,d-\tfrac52\,,d-2\,,\tag{4.70}$$

which translate into poles at $s=\frac{4-d}{2},\frac{5-d}{2},\frac{6-d}{2},0,\frac12,\frac32,2,\frac{d-2}{2},\frac{d-1}{2},\frac{d}{2}$ as predicted by the Rankin-Selberg method. Moreover, from the fact that the regularized integral $\int_{\mathcal{F}_3^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_3\,\mathcal{E}_3^\star(s)\,\Gamma_{d,d,3}$ has only simple poles at $s=0,\frac12,\frac32,2$, we deduce from (4.67) the value of the residues of

$\mathcal{R}_3^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,3},s)$ at the poles for generic value of d,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{d}{2}}\mathcal{R}_3^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,3},s) =&\zeta^\star(2)\,\zeta^\star(3)\,\zeta^\star(d-3)\,\zeta^\star(2d-5)\,,\\
\mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{d-1}{2}}\mathcal{R}_3^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,3},s) =&\zeta^\star(2)\,\zeta^\star(2d-7)\,\mathcal{E}_V^{\star,SO(d,d)}(d-\tfrac{5}{2})\,,\\
\mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{d-2}{2}}\mathcal{R}_3^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,3},s) =&\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda^2V}^{\star,SO(d,d)}(d-3)\,,\\
\mathrm{Res}_{s=2}\mathcal{R}_3^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,3},s) =&\frac{1}{2}\zeta^\star(3)\,\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_3}\mathrm{d}\mu_3\,\Gamma_{d,d,3}\,,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.71}$$

where the renormalized integral $\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_3}\mathrm{d}\mu_3\,\Gamma_{d,d,3}$ is defined, for all values of d, as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_3}\mathrm{d}\mu_3\,\Gamma_{d,d,3} = \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\Bigg[&\int_{\mathcal{F}_3^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_3\,\Gamma_{d,d,3}\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Lambda^{\frac{d}{2}-3}}{\frac{d}{2}-3}\Theta(d-6)+\log\Lambda\,\delta_{d,6}\right)\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\Gamma_{d,d,2}\\
&-\left(\frac{c(\frac{d-4}{2})\Lambda^{d-5}}{(d-4)(d-5)}\Theta(d-5)+\frac{\pi}{6}\log\Lambda\,\delta_{d,5}\right)\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\Gamma_{d,d,1}\\
&-\left(\frac{6\,c_3(6-\frac{3d}{2})\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}(d-4)}}{\frac{3}{2}(d-4)}\Theta(d-4)+\frac{1}{4}\zeta(3)\,\log\Lambda\,\delta_{d,4}\right)\Bigg]\,.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.72}$$

For $d=4,5,6$, $\mathcal{R}_3^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,3},s)$ has a pole of higher order at $s=2$, in which case its residue at $s=2$ will differ from $\frac{1}{2}\zeta^\star(3)$ times the renormalized integral (4.72) by a term which can be easily computed. For $d=0,1,2$, the Rankin-Selberg transform $\mathcal{R}_3^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,3},s)$ vanishes but the renormalized integral $\mathrm{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_3}\mathrm{d}\mu_3\,\Gamma_{d,d,3}$ is still non-zero. For example, for $d=0$, the vanishing of (4.67) at $s=2$ gives

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_3^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_3 = 2\zeta^\star(2)\zeta^\star(4)\zeta^\star(6)+\frac{\zeta^\star(4)\,c(1)}{6\Lambda^3}-\frac{\zeta^\star(2)\,c(-2)}{10\Lambda^5}-\frac{c_3(6)}{\Lambda^6}+\dots\tag{4.73}$$

up to exponentially suppressed terms, reproducing the known value of $\mathcal{V}_3$. Similarly, at $d=1$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{F}_3^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_3\,\Gamma_{1,1,3} =&2\zeta^\star(2)\,\zeta^\star(4)\,\zeta^\star(6)\,(R^3+1/R^3)-\frac{4\zeta^\star(2)\,\zeta^\star(4)}{5\Lambda^{5/2}}(R^2+1/R^2)\\
&-\frac{c_2(4)\,\zeta^\star(2)}{4\Lambda^4}\,(R+1/R)-\frac{4c_3(9/2)}{3\Lambda^{9/2}}+\dots
\end{aligned}\tag{4.74}$$

and at $d=2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{F}_3^\Lambda}\mathrm{d}\mu_3\,\Gamma_{2,2,3} =&2\zeta^\star(2)\zeta^\star(4)\,[\mathcal{E}_1^\star(3,T)+\mathcal{E}_1^\star(3,U)]\\
&+\frac{c_2(3)}{6\Lambda^3}\Big(\log\left[T_2U_2|\eta(T)\eta(U)|^4\right]+5\log\Lambda+\mathrm{cte}\Big)+\dots
\end{aligned}\tag{4.75}$$

reproducing the results of [27, (4.46)]. For $d = 3$, one may show using the Langlands constant term formula that

$$\mathcal{E}^{SO(3,3),\star}_{\Lambda^3 V}(s) = \zeta^\star(2s)\,\zeta^\star(2s-1)\,\zeta^\star(2s-2)\,\zeta^\star(2s-3)\,\left[\mathcal{E}^{SO(3,3),\star}_S(2s-1) + \mathcal{E}^{SO(3,3),\star}_C(2s-1)\right]\,, \tag{4.76}$$

where $\mathcal{E}^{SO(3,3),\star}_{S,C}$ are Eisenstein series attached to the two spinor representations of $SO(3,3,\mathbb{Z})$ (or equivalently, the fundamental and anti-fundamental representations of $SL(4,\mathbb{Z})$). The residue at $s = 2$ yields

$$\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_3} \mathrm{d}\mu_3 \Gamma_{3,3,3} = 2\zeta^\star(2)\zeta^\star(4)\left[\mathcal{E}^{SO(3,3),\star}_S(3) + \mathcal{E}^{SO(3,3),\star}_C(3)\right]\,, \tag{4.77}$$

as announced in [27, (4.59)].

In Appendix §C, we use (4.72) as the starting point to show that the renormalized integral $\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_3} \mathrm{d}\mu_3\,\Gamma_{d,d,3}$ is an eigenmode of the Laplace operator on the Grassmannian $G_{d,d}$ parametrizing even selfdual lattices of signature (d,d), up to anomalous source terms which originate from logarithmic divergences.

A Siegel-Eisenstein series and invariant differential operators

In this appendix, we collect various properties of Siegel-Eisenstein series which are known to hold for any degree. The completed Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega) = \mathcal{N}_h(s) \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_{h,\infty}\backslash\Gamma_h} |\Omega_2|^s|\gamma \tag{A.1}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{h+1}{2}$, and by analytic continuation in s elsewhere. The normalization factor

$$\mathcal{N}_h(s) = \zeta^\star(2s)\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor h/2\rfloor}\zeta^\star(4s-2j) \tag{A.2}$$

is chosen such that $\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)$ is invariant under $s\mapsto\frac{h+1}{2}-s$. $\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)$ has simple poles at most at $s = \frac{j}{4}$ where j is an integer in the range $0\le j\le 2h+2$ [28]. In particular, it has a simple pole at $s = \frac{h+1}{2}$, with constant residue $r_h = \frac{1}{2}\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor h/2\rfloor}\zeta^\star(2j+1)$.

The Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)$ is an eigenmode of all invariant differential operators, in particular of the Laplacian on the Siegel upper half plane,

$$\Delta_{Sp(2h)}\,\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega) = hs(s-\tfrac{h+1}{2})\,\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)\,. \tag{A.3}$$

It will be convenient to choose a set of generators $\Delta^{(2r)}_{Sp(2h)}$, $1\le r\le h$ of the ring of invariant

differential operators, such that the eigenvalue of $\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)$ is given by

$$\Delta^{(2r)}_{Sp(2h)}\,\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega) = \binom{h}{r}\prod_{k=0}^{r-1}(s-\tfrac{k}{2})(s-\tfrac{h+1-k}{2})\,\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)\,. \tag{A.4}$$

Up to normalization, these operators are defined by

$$\Delta^{(2r)}_{Sp(2h)} \propto |Y|^{\frac{r-1}{2}}\,Y_{i_1k_1}\ldots Y_{i_rk_r}Y_{j_1l_1}\ldots Y_{j_rl_r}\partial_{\bar\Omega_{i_1j_1}}\ldots\partial_{\bar\Omega_{i_rj_r}}\partial_{\Omega_{k_1l_1}}\ldots\partial_{\Omega_{k_rl_r}}\,|Y|^{-\frac{r-1}{2}}\,, \tag{A.5}$$

where $Y\equiv\Omega_2$ and the sum is completely antisymmetrized over $i_{1\ldots r}$, $j_{1\ldots r}$, $k_{1\ldots r}$, $l_{1\ldots r}$. For $r=1$, the operator $\Delta^{(2)}_{Sp(2h)}$ coincides with the Laplacian $\Delta_{Sp(2h)}$. For $r=h$, the operator $\Delta^{(2h)}_{Sp(2h)}$ is proportional to the product $\overline{\Box}_{w+2}\,\Box_w$ of Maass' raising and lowering operators

$$\begin{aligned}\Box_w =&|\Omega_2|^{\frac{h-1}{2}-w}\cdot\det\left(\tfrac{1+\delta_{IJ}}{2}\partial_{\Omega_{IJ}}\right)\,|\Omega_2|^{w-\frac{h-1}{2}}\,,\\ \overline{\Box}_w =&|\Omega_2|^{2+\frac{h-1}{2}}\,\det\left(\tfrac{1+\delta_{IJ}}{2}\partial_{\bar\Omega_{IJ}}\right)\,|\Omega_2|^{-\frac{h-1}{2}}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

which raise and lower the modular weight w by two units, respectively [29]. The eigenvalue of $\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)$ under $\Delta^{(2r)}_{Sp(2h)}$ can be computed using lemma 9.1 in [47]. The Fourier expansion of $\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)$ can be found in [48].

We shall also consider the multi-parameter Eisenstein series

$$\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s_1,\ldots s_h,\Omega) = \mathcal{N}_h(s_1,\ldots s_h)\sum_{\gamma\in B_h\backslash\Gamma_h} t_1^{s_1}t_2^{s_2}\ldots t_h^{s_h}|_\gamma\,, \tag{A.7}$$

where B_h is the Borel subgroup of $Sp(2h,\mathbb{Z})$. The series converges absolutely when $\mathrm{Re}(x_i)>\frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathrm{Re}(x_j-x_i)>\frac{1}{2}$ whenever $i<j$. Upon choosing for the normalization factor

$$\mathcal{N}_h(s_1,\ldots s_h) = \prod_{1\le i<j\le h}\zeta^\star(1+2x_j-2x_i)\prod_{1\le i<j\le h}\zeta^\star(1+2x_j+2x_i)\prod_{1\le i\le h}\zeta^\star(1+2x_i)\,, \tag{A.8}$$

where $x_i=s_i-\frac{i}{2}$ with $i=1\ldots h$, the Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}^\star(s_1,\ldots s_h)$ is invariant under the Weyl group, which permutes the x_i's and takes one x_i to minus itself. The Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)$ is proportional to the multi-residue of $\mathcal{E}^\star(s_1,\ldots s_h)$ at $s_1=s_2=\cdots=s$. $\mathcal{E}^\star(s_1,\ldots s_h)$

is an eigenmode of the ring of invariant differential operators, with eigenvalues

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{(2)}_{Sp(2h)} &= \sum_{1\le i\le h} x_i^2 - \tfrac{1}{24}h(h+1)(2h+1)\,,\\
\Delta^{(4)}_{Sp(2h)} &= \sum_{1\le i<j\le h} x_i^2x_j^2 - \tfrac{1}{24}h(h+1)(2h-1)\sum_{1\le i\le h} x_i^2\\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{5760}h(h+1)(h-1)(2h+1)(2h-1)(5h-6)\,,\\
\Delta^{(6)}_{Sp(2h)} &= \sum_{1\le i<j<k\le h} x_i^2x_j^2x_k^2 - \tfrac{1}{24}(h-2)(h-1)(2h-3)\sum_{1\le i<j\le h} x_i^2x_j^2\\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{5760}(h-2)(h-1)h(2h-3)(2h-1)(5h-11)\sum_{1\le i\le h} x_i^2\\
&\quad - \tfrac{1}{2903040}(h-2)(h-1)h(h+1)(2h-3)(2h-1)(2h+1)(7h(5h-23)+186)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

under the operators $\Delta^{(2r)}_{Sp(2h)}$ with $1 \le r \le 3$. More generally, $\Delta^{(2r)}_{Sp(2h)}$ involves a sum of elementary symmetric functions of the x_i^2, whose coefficients of the are fixed by requiring that $\Delta^{(r)}_{Sp(2h)}$ vanishes at $s_i = s = 0, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{r-1}{2}$.

The boundaries of the Siegel fundamental domain correspond to regions where the imaginary part of period matrix acquires very large values in a diagonal block of size h_2,

$$\Omega \to \begin{pmatrix} \Omega' & \Omega' u_2 - u_1 \\ u_2^t\Omega' - u_1^t & \mathrm{i}\, V^{-1}\hat\omega + \omega_1 \end{pmatrix}\,, \tag{A.10}$$

where Ω' is a period matrix of degree $h_1 = h - h_2$, $\hat\omega$ is a positive definite matrix of size h_2 with unit determinant, u_1, u_2 are two $h_1 \times h_2$ real matrices, ω_1 is a real $h_2 \times h_2$ symmetric matrix, and V is scaled towards 0. In this limit, the Siegel domain of degree h decomposes according to

$$\mathcal{H}_h \to \mathcal{H}_{h_1} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \frac{SL(h_2)}{SO(h_2)} \times \mathbb{R}^{2h_1h_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{\frac{1}{2}h_2(h_2+1)}\,, \tag{A.11}$$

while the integration measure factorizes into

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_h(\Omega) \propto \frac{\mathrm{d}V}{V}\, V^{h_1h_2+\frac{1}{2}h_2(h_2+1)}\, \mathrm{d}\mu_{h_1}(\Omega')\, \mathrm{d}\hat\omega\, \mathrm{d}u\,, \tag{A.12}$$

up to a constant normalization factor. In the limit $V \to 0$, the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_h$ simplifies to

$$\mathcal{F}_h \to \mathcal{F}_{h_1} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(h_2,\mathbb{Z})} \times \mathbb{Z}^{2h_1h_2}/\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{1}{2}h_2(h_2+1)}\,, \tag{A.13}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(h_2,\mathbb{Z})}$ denotes a fundamental domain of the action of $PGL(h_2,\mathbb{Z})$ on positive definite matrices of unit determinant and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts by flipping the sign of (u_1, u_2).

For $(h_1, h_2) = (h-1, 1)$, setting $t = 1/V$, the Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)$ decomposes into

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega) \to t^s\, \mathcal{E}^\star_{h-1}(s,\Omega') + t^{\frac{h+1}{2}-s}\, \mathcal{E}^\star_{h-1}(s-\tfrac{1}{2},\Omega') \quad (h \text{ odd})\,, \\
&\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega) \to t^s\, \zeta^\star(4s-h)\, \mathcal{E}^\star_{h-1}(s,\Omega') + t^{\frac{h+1}{2}-s}\, \zeta^\star(4s-h-1)\, \mathcal{E}^\star_{h-1}(s-\tfrac{1}{2},\Omega') \quad (h \text{ even})\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

More generally, the Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s_1,\dots,s_h,\Omega)$ decomposes into a sum of terms, one of which proportional to $t^{s_h}\mathcal{E}^\star_{h-1}(s_1,\dots,s_{h-1},\Omega')$. Consistency of these decompositions with with (A.4) and (A.9) implies that the operators $\Delta^{(r)}_{Sp(2h)}$ acting on functions independent of u_1, u_2, ω_1 reduce to linear combinations of $\Delta^{(r)}_{Sp(2h-2)}$ and $\Delta^{(r-1)}_{Sp(2h-2)}$,

$$\Delta^{(r)}_{Sp(2h)} \to \Delta^{(r)}_{Sp(2h-2)} + \left[t^2\partial_t^2 - (h-1)t\partial_t + \tfrac{r-1}{2}(h-\tfrac{r-1}{2})\right]\, \Delta^{(r-1)}_{Sp(2h-2)}\,. \tag{A.15}$$

It follows from (A.15) that the invariant operator

$$\Diamond_h(\sigma) = \sum_{r=0}^{h}(-1)^r\, \prod_{k=1}^{r}(\sigma - \frac{h-k}{2})(\sigma - \frac{h+k}{2})\, \Delta^{(h-r)}_{Sp(2h)}\,, \tag{A.16}$$

annihilates any function of the form $t^\sigma\varphi(\Omega')$. The Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)$ is an eigenmode of $\Diamond_h(\sigma)$ with eigenvalue

$$\Diamond_h(\sigma)\, \mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega) = \left[\prod_{k=0}^{h-1}(s-\sigma+\tfrac{k}{2})(s+\sigma-\tfrac{h+1+k}{2})\right]\, \mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)\,. \tag{A.17}$$

These relations generalize (3.25), (3.33), (4.32), (4.35) to arbitrary degree.

More generally, for $h_2 > 1$, the Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega)$ decomposes into

$$\mathcal{E}^\star_h(s,\Omega) \to \sum_{r=0}^{h_2} V^{-s(h_2-2r)-\frac{r(h_1+r+1)}{2}}\, c_{h,h_1,r}(s)\, \mathcal{E}^\star_{h_1}(s-\tfrac{r}{2},\Omega')\, \mathcal{E}^{\star,SL(h_2)}_{\Lambda^r V}(2s-\tfrac{h_1+r+1}{2},\hat\omega)\,, \tag{A.18}$$

where $c_{h,h_1,r}(s)$ is a product of zeta factors. This is consistent with (A.3), since it follows from (A.12) that the Laplace operator decomposes into

$$\Delta_{Sp(2h)} \to \Delta_{Sp(2h_1)} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{SL(h_2)} + \frac{1}{h_2}\left(V^2\partial_V^2 + \left[1 + h_1h_2 + \tfrac{1}{2}h_2(h_2+1)\right] V\partial_V\right)\,. \tag{A.19}$$

The decomposition of $\Delta^{(2r)}_{Sp(2h)}$ with $r > 1$ can be worked out on a case by case basis, by requiring that the multi-parameter Eisenstein series are eigenmodes with the eigenvalues displayed in (A.9).

B Lattice partition function and Langlands-Eisenstein series

Here we consider the renormalized Rankin-Selberg transform of the Siegel-Narain partition function (2.17) in arbitrary degree:

$$\mathcal{R}_h^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,h},s)=\mathcal{N}_h(s)\int_{\Gamma_{\infty,h}\backslash\mathcal{H}_h}\mathrm{d}\mu_h\,|\Omega_2|^{s+\frac{d}{2}}\sum_{\substack{(m_i^I,n^{i,I})\in\mathbb{Z}^{2dh}\\ \mathrm{Rk}(m_i^I,n^{i,I})=h}}e^{-\pi\mathcal{L}^{IJ}\Omega_{2,IJ}+2\pi\mathrm{i}m_i^In^{i,J}\Omega_{1,IJ}} \tag{B.1}$$

where the renormalization prescription amounts to keeping only the terms where the matrix $M=(m_i^I,n^{i,I})$ (of size $2d\times h$) has rank h. The integral over Ω_1 enforces the condition $m_i^In^{i,J}+m_i^Jn^{i,I}=0$, while the integral over $\Omega_2\in\mathcal{P}_h/PGL(h,\mathbb{Z})$ can be unfolded onto an integral over $\mathcal{P}_h$, at the expense of restricting the sum to one representative in each orbit of the action of $PGL(h,\mathbb{Z})$ on M.

The integral over Ω_1 is straightforward and simply imposes the BPS condition $m_i^In^{iJ}+m_i^Jn^{iI}=0$. The integral over Ω_2 may be performed using the identity [29, 49]

$$I=\int_{\mathcal{P}_h}\mathrm{d}\Omega_2\,|\Omega_2|^{\delta-\frac{h+1}{2}}\,e^{-\mathrm{Tr}(Q\Omega_2)}=\pi^{\frac{h(h-1)}{4}}|Q|^{-\delta}\prod_{k=0}^{h-1}\Gamma(\delta-\tfrac{k}{2})\,, \tag{B.2}$$

where Q being a real symmetric positive definite matrix. To prove this identity, notice that Q can be uniquely decomposed into the product of a lower triangular matrix L and its transpose, $Q=L^TL$. Changing variables to $\Omega_2'=L\Omega_2$ and noting that $d\Omega_2'=|Q|^{\frac{h+1}{2}}d\Omega_2$, one arrives at

$$I=|Q|^{-\delta}\int_{\mathcal{P}_h}\mathrm{d}\Omega_2'\,|\Omega_2'|^{\delta-\frac{h+1}{2}}\,e^{-\mathrm{Tr}(\Omega_2')}\,. \tag{B.3}$$

The Cholesky decomposition may again be used to uniquely decompose $\Omega_2'=XX^T$, for some lower triangular matrix X with positive elements along the diagonal, $x_{jj}>0$ for all $j=1,\dots,h$. Since the Jacobian for this change of variables is $|J|=2^h\prod_{j=1}^h x_{jj}^{h+1-j}$, one obtains

$$I=2^h|Q|^{-\delta}\left(\prod_{k=1}^h\int_0^\infty\mathrm{d}x_{kk}\;x_{kk}^{2\delta-k}\,e^{-x_{kk}^2}\right)\left(\prod_{i>j=1}^h\int_{-\infty}^\infty\mathrm{d}x_{ij}\;e^{-x_{ij}^2}\right)\,, \tag{B.4}$$

from which one immediately recovers (B.2).

Applying (B.2) result to the integral (B.1) over $\Omega_2\in\mathcal{P}_h$, we arrive at

$$\mathcal{R}_h^\star(\Gamma_{d,d,h},s)=\frac{\mathcal{N}_h(s)\,\prod_{j=1}^h\Gamma(s-\frac{h+j-d}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{h}{4}(1-2d+3h-4s)}}\sum_{\substack{(m_i^I,n^{i,I})\in\mathbb{Z}^{2hd}/PGL(h,\mathbb{Z})\\ m_i^In^{iJ}+m_i^Jn^{iI}=0\\ \mathrm{Rk}(m_i^I,n^{i,I})=h}}|\mathcal{L}|^{-s+\frac{h+1-d}{2}}\,. \tag{B.5}$$

This is recognized as twice the completed Langlands-Eisenstein series attached to the h-index

antisymmetric representation of $SO(d,d,\mathbb{Z})$,

$$\mathcal{R}^\star_h(\Gamma_{d,d,h},s) = 2\,\mathcal{E}^{SO(d,d),\star}_{\Lambda^h V}(s+\tfrac{d-h-1}{2})\,. \tag{B.6}$$

To justify this identification, recall that the completed Langlands-Eisenstein series is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}^{SO(d,d),\star}_{\Lambda^h V}(s') = L_h(s') \sum_{\gamma\in P_h(\mathbb{Z})\backslash SO(d,d,\mathbb{Z})} e^{2s'\langle\lambda_h,H(\gamma e)\rangle} \tag{B.7}$$

where λ_h is the fundamental weight associated to the representation $\Lambda^h V$, P_h is the parabolic subgroup of $SO(d,d,\mathbb{Z})$ obtained by deleting the simple root dual to λ_h , $H(e)$ is the Abelian component in the Iwasawa decomposition of a coset representative e in $G_{d,d}$, and the normalization factor

$$L_h(s') = \zeta^\star(2s'+h+1-d)\prod_{k=0}^{h-1}\zeta^\star(2s'-k)\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor h/2\rfloor}\zeta^\star(4s'+2h+2-2d-2j)\,, \tag{B.8}$$

is chosen such that $\mathcal{E}^{SO(d,d),\star}_{\Lambda^h V}(s')$ is invariant under $s'\mapsto d-\frac{h+1}{2}-s'$ (see e.g. [34, A.3]). The equality (B.6) is thus equivalent to

$$\sum_{\substack{(m^I_i,n^{i,I})\in\mathbb{Z}^{2hd}/PGL(h,\mathbb{Z})\\ m^I_i n^{iJ}+m^J_i n^{iI}=0\\ \mathrm{Rk}(m^I_i,n^{i,I})=h}} |\mathcal{L}|^{-s'} = 2\prod_{j=1}^{h}\zeta(2s'-j+1)\sum_{\gamma\in P_h(\mathbb{Z})\backslash SO(d,d,\mathbb{Z})} e^{2s'\langle\lambda_h,H(\gamma e)\rangle}\,, \tag{B.9}$$

which, in turn, follows from the identity [26]

$$\sum_{\substack{M\in\mathbb{Z}^{h\times h}/GL(h,\mathbb{Z})\\ \mathrm{Rk}(M)=h}} |M|^{-2s'} = \prod_{j=1}^{h}\zeta(2s'-j+1)\,. \tag{B.10}$$

Finally, it may be shown from Langlands' constant term formula that the Langlands-Eisenstein series $\mathcal{E}^{SO(d,d),\star}_{\Lambda^h V}$ behaves in the limit where the radius R of one circle in the torus T^d becomes very large as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}^{\star,SO(d,d)}_{\Lambda^h V}(s) \to\; & R^h\,\mathcal{E}^{\star,SO(d-1,d-1)}_{\Lambda^h V}(s-\tfrac12)\\ &+\zeta^\star(2s+1-h)\,\zeta^\star(4s+h+2-2d)\,R^{2s}\,\mathcal{E}^{\star,SO(d-1,d-1)}_{\Lambda^{h-1}V}(s)\\ &+\zeta^\star(2s+2h+1-2d)\,\zeta^\star(4s+h+1-2d)\,R^{2d-h-1-2s}\,\mathcal{E}^{\star,SO(d-1,d-1)}_{\Lambda^{h-1}V}(s-\tfrac12)\end{aligned} \tag{B.11}$$

for h even, and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}^{\star,SO(d,d)}_{\Lambda^h V}(s) \to\, & R^h\, \mathcal{E}^{\star,SO(d-1,d-1)}_{\Lambda^h V}(s-\tfrac{1}{2}) \\ & + \zeta^\star(2s+1-h)\, R^{2s}\, \mathcal{E}^{\star,SO(d-1,d-1)}_{\Lambda^{h-1} V}(s) \\ & + \zeta^\star(2s+2h+1-2d)\, R^{2d-h-1-2s}\, \mathcal{E}^{\star,SO(d-1,d-1)}_{\Lambda^{h-1} V}(s-\tfrac{1}{2})\end{aligned} \tag{B.12}$$

for h odd. Using these formulae, one can establish recursively the analytic structure stated in (3.50) and (4.70), and the formulae (2.21), (3.51), (4.71) for the residues at the poles for generic value of d.

C Laplace equation at genus 3

In this section, we establish that the renormalized integral R.N. $\int_{\mathcal{F}_3} \mathrm{d}\mu_3 \Gamma_{d,d,3}$ studied in §4.4 satisfies the following differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\Delta_{SO(d,d)} + \tfrac{3}{2}d(d-4)\big]\ \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_3} \mathrm{d}\mu_3\, \Gamma_{d,d,3} =& \zeta(3)\, \delta_{d,4} + \tfrac{5\pi}{6} \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1\, \Gamma_{5,5,1}\, \delta_{d,5} \\ & + 3\, \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_2} \mathrm{d}\mu_2\, \Gamma_{6,6,2}\, \delta_{d,6}\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

where $\Delta_{SO(d,d)}$ denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the Grassmannian $G_{d,d}$ parametrizing even selfdual lattices of signature (d,d). As explained in [34], the renormalized integral R.N. $\int_{\mathcal{F}_3} \mathrm{d}\mu_3 \Gamma_{d,d,3}$ arises in the low-energy expansion of the four-graviton scattering amplitude in type II strings compactified on a torus T^d at order $D^6\mathcal{R}^4$, and Eq. (C.1) can be understood as a consequence of supersymmetry. Here, we would like to establish (C.1) based on properties of the integrand near the boundaries of the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_3$. Our analysis will extend the study in [33] of similar one-loop and two-loop modular integrals which appear at order $\mathcal{R}^4$ and $D^4\mathcal{R}^4$ in the low-energy expansion of the four-graviton scattering amplitude, and satisfy [33][7]

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\Delta_{SO(d,d)} + \tfrac{1}{2}d(d-2)\big]\ \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1\, \Gamma_{d,d,1} =& 2\, \delta_{d,2}\ , \\ \big[\Delta_{SO(d,d)} + d(d-3)\big]\ \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_2} \mathrm{d}\mu_2\, \Gamma_{d,d,2} =& \pi\, \delta_{d,3} + 2\, \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} \mathrm{d}\mu_1\, \Gamma_{4,4,1}\, \delta_{d,1}\ .\end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

In fact, (C.2) will be needed for the proof of (C.1). We note that the physical origin of the source terms in Eq. (C.1) was independently discussed in [50].

We start from the definition (4.72) of the renormalized integral. Then, using [24]

$$\big[\Delta_{SO(d,d)} - 2\Delta_{Sp(6)} + \tfrac{3}{2}d(d-4)\big]\ \Gamma_{d,d,3} = 0\,, \tag{C.3}$$

[7] In comparing (C.1) and (C.2) with Eq. (2.23-25) in [34] and Eq. (1.5), (3.2) in [33], one should take into account the difference of normalization of the integration measure, $\mathrm{d}\mu_h^{\text{here}} = 2^{-h(h+1)/2}\mathrm{d}\mu_h^{\text{there}}$.

along with the identities (C.2) for $h = 1$ and $h = 2$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\Delta_{SO(d,d)} + \tfrac{3}{2}d(d-4)\right] \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_3} \mathrm{d}\mu_3\, \Gamma_{d,d,3} = \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\Bigg[2\int_{\mathcal{F}_3^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_3\, \Delta_{Sp(6)}\Gamma_{d,d,3} \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\Lambda^{\frac{d}{2}-3}}{\frac{d}{2}-3}\Theta(d-6) + \log\Lambda\,\delta_{d,6}\right)\left[\frac{1}{2}d(d-6)\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\Gamma_{d,d,2} + \pi\delta_{d,3} + 2\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\Gamma_{4,4,1}\,\delta_{d,4}\right] \\
&- \left(\frac{c(\frac{d-4}{2})\Lambda^{d-5}}{(d-4)(d-5)}\Theta(d-5) + \frac{\pi}{6}\log\Lambda\,\delta_{d,5}\right)\left[d(d-5)\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\Gamma_{d,d,1} + 2\,\delta_{d,2}\right] \\
&- \frac{3}{2}d(d-4)\left(\frac{6\,c(6-\frac{3d}{2})\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}(d-4)}}{\frac{3}{2}(d-4)}\Theta(d-4) + \frac{1}{4}\zeta(3)\,\log\Lambda\,\delta_{d,4}\right)\Bigg].
\end{aligned} \tag{C.4}$$

The terms proportional to $\log\Lambda$ all drop as they have vanishing coefficient. The remaining anomalous terms also disappear in the limit $\Lambda\to\infty$. Thus, the above simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\Delta_{SO(d,d)} + \tfrac{3}{2}d(d-4)\right] \text{R.N.} \int_{\mathcal{F}_3} \mathrm{d}\mu_3\, \Gamma_{d,d,3} = \lim_{\Lambda\to\infty}\Bigg[&2\int_{\mathcal{F}_3^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_3\, \Delta_{Sp(6)}\Gamma_{d,d,3} \\
&-\frac{d}{2}\Lambda^{\frac{d}{2}-3}\,\Theta(d-6)\,\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\Gamma_{d,d,2} \\
&-\frac{d\,c(\frac{d-4}{2})\Lambda^{d-5}}{d-4}\Theta(d-5)\,\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\Gamma_{d,d,1} \\
&-6\,d\,c_3(6-\tfrac{3d}{2})\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}(d-4)}\,\Theta(d-4)\Bigg].
\end{aligned} \tag{C.5}$$

The first term can be integrated by parts and gives boundary terms from regions I, II and III, which are easily computed using the asymptotic forms (4.7), (4.10), (4.13), (4.34),(4.36),(4.39) of the measure $\mathrm{d}\mu_3$ and of the Laplacian $\Delta_{Sp(6)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
2\int_{\mathcal{F}_3^\Lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_3\,\Delta_{Sp(6)}\Gamma_{d,d,3} =& \int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2(\tilde\Omega)\,\Gamma_{d,d,2}(\tilde\Omega)\,\left[t^{-2}\partial_t\, t^{d/2}\right]_{t=\Lambda} \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\tau)\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1(\rho)\,\Gamma_{d,d,1}(\rho)\,\left[V^6\partial_V\,V^{-d}\right]_{V=\tau_2/\Lambda} \\
&-4\int_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{PGL(3,\mathbb{Z})}}\mathrm{d}\hat\mu_3\,\left[\mathcal{V}^7\,\partial_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{V}^{-3d/2}\right]_{\mathcal{V}=L\tau_2/\Lambda} \\
=&\frac{d}{2}\Lambda^{\frac{d}{2}-3}\,\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathrm{d}\mu_2\,\Gamma_{d,d,2} + \frac{d\,c(\frac{d-4}{2})\Lambda^{d-5}}{d-4}\,\text{R.N.}\int_{\mathcal{F}_1}\mathrm{d}\mu_1\,\Gamma_{d,d,1} \\
&+6\,d\,c_3(6-\tfrac{3d}{2})\Lambda^{\frac{3}{2}(d-4)}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.6}$$

These boundary terms precisely cancel the divergent terms in (C.5), leaving a finite reminder

in $d = 4$, $d = 5$ and $d = 6$ as $\Lambda \to \infty$. Using $c(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{\pi}{6}$, $c_3(0) = \frac{1}{24}\zeta(3)$ we find precise agreement with (C.1).

References

[1] E. D'Hoker and D. H. Phong, "Complex geometry and supergeometry," hep-th/0512197.

[2] E. Witten, "Superstring Perturbation Theory Revisited," arXiv:1209.5461 [hep-th].

[3] R. Donagi and E. Witten, "Supermoduli Space Is Not Projected," arXiv:1304.7798 [hep-th].

[4] W. Lerche, B. Nilsson, A. Schellekens, and N. Warner, "Anomaly cancelling terms from the elliptic genus," *Nucl.Phys.* **B299** (1988) 91.

[5] B. McClain and B. D. B. Roth, "Modular invariance for interacting bosonic strings at finite temperature," *Commun.Math.Phys.* **111** (1987) 539.

[6] K. O'Brien and C. Tan, "Modular Invariance of Thermopartition Function and Global Phase Structure of Heterotic String," *Phys.Rev.* **D36** (1987) 1184.

[7] L. J. Dixon, V. Kaplunovsky, and J. Louis, "Moduli dependence of string loop corrections to gauge coupling constants," *Nucl. Phys.* **B355** (1991) 649–688.

[8] J. A. Harvey and G. W. Moore, "On the algebras of BPS states," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **197** (1998) 489–519, hep-th/9609017.

[9] D. Zagier, "The Rankin-Selberg method for automorphic functions which are not of rapid decay," *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **28** (1981) no. 3, 415–437 (1982).

[10] C. Angelantonj, I. Florakis, and B. Pioline, "A new look at one-loop integrals in string theory," *Commun.Num.Theor.Phys.* **6** (2012) 159–201, arXiv:1110.5318 [hep-th].

[11] C. Angelantonj, I. Florakis, and B. Pioline, "One-Loop BPS amplitudes as BPS-state sums," *JHEP* **1206** (2012) 070, arXiv:1203.0566 [hep-th].

[12] C. Angelantonj, I. Florakis, and B. Pioline, "Rankin-Selberg methods for closed strings on orbifolds," *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2013** (2013) no. 7, 181, arXiv:1304.4271 [hep-th].

[13] C. Angelantonj, I. Florakis, and B. Pioline, "Threshold corrections, generalised prepotentials and Eichler integrals," *Nucl.Phys.* **B897** (2015) 781–820, arXiv:1502.00007 [hep-th].

[14] M. Cardella, "A novel method for computing torus amplitudes for $\mathbb{Z}_N$ orbifolds without the unfolding technique," *JHEP* **05** (2009) 010, arXiv:0812.1549 [hep-th].

[15] S. L. Cacciatori and M. Cardella, "Equidistribution Rates, Closed String Amplitudes, and the Riemann Hypothesis," *JHEP* **12** (2010) 025, arXiv:1007.3717 [hep-th].

[16] M. A. Cardella, "Error Estimates in Horocycle Averages Asymptotics: Challenges from String Theory," arXiv:1012.2754 [math.NT].

[17] C. Angelantonj, M. Cardella, S. Elitzur, and E. Rabinovici, "Vacuum stability, string density of states and the Riemann zeta function," *JHEP* **1102** (2011) 024, arXiv:1012.5091 [hep-th].

[18] S. L. Cacciatori and M. A. Cardella, "Uniformization, Unipotent Flows and the Riemann Hypothesis," arXiv:1102.1201 [math.NT].

[19] S. L. Cacciatori and M. A. Cardella, "Eluding SUSY at every genus on stable closed string vacua," *JHEP* **05** (2011) 124, arXiv:1102.5276 [hep-th].

[20] E. D'Hoker, M. Gutperle, and D. H. Phong, "Two-loop superstrings and S-duality," *Nucl. Phys.* **B722** (2005) 81–118, arXiv:hep-th/0503180.

[21] H. Gomez and C. R. Mafra, "The closed-string 3-loop amplitude and S-duality," *JHEP* **1310** (2013) 217, arXiv:1308.6567 [hep-th].

[22] E. D'Hoker and M. B. Green, "Zhang-Kawazumi invariants and superstring amplitudes.," *J. Number Theory* **144** (2014) 111–150.

[23] E. D'Hoker, M. B. Green, B. Pioline, and R. Russo, "Matching the D^6R^4 interaction at two-loops," *JHEP* **1501** (2015) 031, arXiv:1405.6226 [hep-th].

[24] N. A. Obers and B. Pioline, "Eisenstein series and string thresholds," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **209** (2000) 275–324, arXiv:hep-th/9903113.

[25] M. B. Green, J. G. Russo, and P. Vanhove, "Automorphic properties of low energy string amplitudes in various dimensions," *Phys.Rev.* **D81** (2010) 086008, arXiv:1001.2535 [hep-th].

[26] C. L. Siegel, "The volume of the fundamental domain for some infinite groups.," *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **39** (1936) 209–218.

[27] B. Pioline, "Rankin-Selberg methods for closed string amplitudes," *Proc.Symp.Pure Math.* **88** (2014) 119–144, arXiv:1401.4265 [hep-th].

[28] V. Kalinin, "Eisenstein series on the symplectic group.," *Math. USSR, Sb.* **32** (1977) 449–476.

[29] H. Maass, "Siegel's modular forms and Dirichlet series. Course given at the University of Maryland, 1969-1970.." Lecture Notes in Mathematics. 216. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag. 328 p. DM 20.00; $ 5.50 (1971)., 1971.

[30] R. Rankin, "Contributions to the theory of Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$ and similar arithmetical functions. I. The zeros of the function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(n)}{n^s}$ on the line $\mathrm{Re}(s) = \frac{13}{2}$.," *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **35** (1939) 351–356.

[31] A. Andrianov, "Euler products corresponding to Siegel modular forms of genus 2.," *Russ. Math. Surv.* **29** (1974) no. 3, 45–116.

[32] T. Yamazaki, "Rankin-Selberg method for Siegel cusp forms.," *Nagoya Math. J.* **120** (1990) 35–49.

[33] B. Pioline and R. Russo, "Infrared divergences and harmonic anomalies in the two-loop superstring effective action," *JHEP* **12** (2015) 102, `arXiv:1510.02409 [hep-th]`.

[34] B. Pioline, "$D^6\ R^4$ amplitudes in various dimensions," *JHEP* **04** (2015) 057, `arXiv:1502.03377 [hep-th]`.

[35] B. Pioline, "A Theta lift representation for the Kawazumi-Zhang and Faltings invariants of genus-two Riemann surfaces," `arXiv:1504.04182 [hep-th]`, to appear in *Journal of Number Theory*, 2016

[36] Y.-H. Lin, S.-H. Shao, Y. Wang, and X. Yin, "Supersymmetry Constraints and String Theory on K3," *JHEP* **12** (2015) 142, `arXiv:1508.07305 [hep-th]`.

[37] M. Chan, "Combinatorics of the tropical Torelli map.," *Algebra Number Theory* **6** (2012) no. 6, 1133–1169.

[38] E. Gottschling, "Explizite Bestimmung der Randflächen des Fundamentalbereiches der Modulgruppe zweiten Grades.," *Math. Ann.* **138** (1959) 103–124.

[39] M. B. Green, H.-h. Kwon, and P. Vanhove, "Two loops in eleven dimensions," *Phys. Rev.* **D61** (2000) 104010, `arXiv:hep-th/9910055`.

[40] H. M. A. Speiser and H. Weyl, "Gesammelte Abhandlungen von *Hermann Minkowski*. Unter Mitwirkung von *Andreas Speiser* und *Hermann Weyl* herausgegeben von *David Hilbert*." Leipzig u. Berlin: B. G. Teubner. gr. 8°, 1911.

[41] D. Gordon, D. Grenier, and A. Terras, "Hecke operators and the fundamental domain for SL(3,$\mathbb{Z}$).," *Math. Comput.* **48** (1987) 159–178.

[42] D. Grenier, "On the shape of fundamental domains in $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{O}(n)$.," *Pac. J. Math.* **160** (1993) no. 1, 53–66.

[43] L. Caporaso and F. Viviani, "Torelli theorem for graphs and tropical curves.," *Duke Math. J.* **153** (2010) no. 1, 129–171.

[44] S. Brannetti, M. Melo, and F. Viviani, "On the tropical Torelli map.," *Adv. Mat.* **226** (2011) 2546–2586.

[45] P. Tourkine, "Tropical Amplitudes," arXiv:1309.3551 [hep-th].

[46] B. Pioline and D. Persson, "The automorphic NS5-brane," *Commun. Num. Th. Phys.* **3** (2009) no. 4, 697–754, arXiv:0902.3274 [hep-th].

[47] G. Shimura, "On Eisenstein series.," *Duke Math. J.* **50** (1983) 417–476.

[48] S.-i. Mizumoto, "Eisenstein series for Siegel modular groups.," *Math. Ann.* **297** (1993) no. 4, 581–625.

[49] C. S. Herz, "Bessel functions of matrix argument.," *Ann. Math. (2)* **61** (1955) 474–523.

[50] A. Basu, "Perturbative type II amplitudes for BPS interactions," arXiv:1510.01667 [hep-th].